## Kinetic Equation Approach to Graphene in Strong Fields

#### Biplab Mahato

## Institute of theoretical Physics, University of Wroclaw IMPRS "Many particle systems in structured media", MPIPKS, Dresden





A B A A B A

Biplab Mahato (UWr)

## Introduction

Motivation

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• Schwinger predicted creation of electron-positron pairs from vacuum in strong external electric field. [Schwinger J., Phys. Rev. (1951)]

э

- Schwinger predicted creation of electron-positron pairs from vacuum in strong external electric field. [Schwinger J., Phys. Rev. (1951)]
- Experimental realisation of this novel phenomenon is still afar.

$$E_c = \frac{m^2 c^3}{e\hbar} \approx 1.32 \times 10^{18} V m^{-1}$$

- Schwinger predicted creation of electron-positron pairs from vacuum in strong external electric field. [Schwinger J., Phys. Rev. (1951)]
- Experimental realisation of this novel phenomenon is still afar.

$$E_c = \frac{m^2 c^3}{e\hbar} \approx 1.32 \times 10^{18} \, \mathrm{Vm^{-1}}$$

 Many condensed matter systems exhibit behaviour (analogous) known in high energy physics in the low energy regime. (due to vanishing or small band gap)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

- Schwinger predicted creation of electron-positron pairs from vacuum in strong external electric field. [Schwinger J., Phys. Rev. (1951)]
- Experimental realisation of this novel phenomenon is still afar.

$$E_c = \frac{m^2 c^3}{e\hbar} \approx 1.32 \times 10^{18} \, \mathrm{Vm^{-1}}$$

- Many condensed matter systems exhibit behaviour (analogous) known in high energy physics in the low energy regime. (due to vanishing or small band gap)
- Is there a condensed matter analog to Schwinger pair production?

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

• Single layer of Carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal lattice.



< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

- Single layer of Carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal lattice.
- Two bands touches each other at Dirac Points.



(B)

- Single layer of Carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal lattice.
- Two bands touches each other at Dirac Points.
- Theory around Dirac points look exactly like the theory of massless Dirac particles[Novoselov et al. Nature (2005)].



 $\mathcal{E} = v_F |\mathbf{q}|$ 

A B A A B A

## Effect of External Electric Field

• Parallels between Schwinger process in QED vacuum and Graphene

QED	Graphene	
Dirac Sea	Fermi Sea	
Electron-Positron pairs	Electron-Hole pairs	

ヨト イヨト

### Effect of External Electric Field

• Parallels between Schwinger process in QED vacuum and Graphene

QED	Graphene
Dirac Sea	Fermi Sea
Electron-Positron pairs	Electron-Hole pairs

Proposed in the paper [Dora B., Moessner R., Phys Rev B., (2010)]

$$n(\vec{p},t) = \Theta(p_x)\Theta(eEt - p_x)\exp\left(-\frac{\pi v_F p_y^2}{\hbar eE}\right)$$

$$N(t) = rac{2eE}{\pi^2 v_F \hbar} \sqrt{rac{v_F eEt^2}{\hbar}} pprox E^{3/2}$$

## Effect of External Electric Field

• Parallels between Schwinger process in QED vacuum and Graphene

QED	Graphene
Dirac Sea	Fermi Sea
Electron-Positron pairs	Electron-Hole pairs

Proposed in the paper [Dora B., Moessner R., Phys Rev B., (2010)]

$$n(\vec{p},t) = \Theta(p_x)\Theta(eEt - p_x)\exp\left(-\frac{\pi v_F p_y^2}{\hbar eE}\right)$$

$$N(t) = rac{2eE}{\pi^2 v_F \hbar} \sqrt{rac{v_F eEt^2}{\hbar}} pprox E^{3/2}$$

• Different stages

Classical	Kubo	Schwinger/Kibble-Zurek		
$t \ll rac{h}{W}$	$rac{h}{W} \ll t \ll \sqrt{rac{\hbar}{v_F e E}}$	$\sqrt{rac{\hbar}{ extsf{v}_{ extsf{F}} eE}} \ll t \ll rac{\hbar}{ extsf{eaE}}$		
$j \approx Et$	j pprox E	$j \approx t E^{3/2}$	(B) B	Sa

Biplab Mahato (UWr)

<sup>1</sup>Based on works by Smolyansky S. A., Blaschke D., Schmidt S. ...,e.g. see [Smolyansky et. al. Particles (2020); 2004.03759] Biplab Mahato (UWr) KE approach to Graphene

• Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = v_F rac{1}{L^2} \sum_{ec{p}} \Psi^{\dagger}(ec{p},t) ec{P} ec{\sigma} \Psi(ec{p},t)$$

<sup>1</sup>Based on works by Smolyansky S. A., Blaschke D., Schmidt S. ...,e.g. see [Smolyansky et. al. Particles (2020); 2004.03759] Biplab Mahato (UWr) KE approach to Graphene

• Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = v_F \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{\vec{p}} \Psi^{\dagger}(\vec{p}, t) \vec{P} \vec{\sigma} \Psi(\vec{p}, t)$$

 Diagonalise the Hamiltonian using unitary transformation to go to the quasiparticle picture.

$$\Psi 
ightarrow U\Psi = \Phi = egin{pmatrix} a(ec{
ho},t) \ b^\dagger(-ec{
ho},t) \end{pmatrix}$$

 <sup>1</sup>Based on works by Smolyansky S. A., Blaschke D., Schmidt S. ...,e.g. see

 [Smolyansky et. al. Particles (2020); 2004.03759]

 Biplab Mahato (UWr)

 KE approach to Graphene

 5/13

• Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = v_F \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{\vec{p}} \Psi^{\dagger}(\vec{p}, t) \vec{P} \vec{\sigma} \Psi(\vec{p}, t)$$

 Diagonalise the Hamiltonian using unitary transformation to go to the quasiparticle picture.

$$\Psi 
ightarrow U\Psi = \Phi = egin{pmatrix} a(ec{
ho},t) \ b^\dagger(-ec{
ho},t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Distribution functions

 $f_e(\vec{p},t) = \langle a^{\dagger}(\vec{p},t)a(\vec{p},t) \rangle$   $f_h(\vec{p},t) = \langle b^{\dagger}(-\vec{p},t)b(-\vec{p},t) \rangle$ 

<sup>1</sup>Based on works by Smolyansky S. A., Blaschke D., Schmidt S. ...,e.g. see [Smolyansky et. al. Particles (2020); 2004.03759]

Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = v_F \frac{1}{L^2} \sum_{\vec{p}} \Psi^{\dagger}(\vec{p}, t) \vec{P} \vec{\sigma} \Psi(\vec{p}, t)$$

 Diagonalise the Hamiltonian using unitary transformation to go to the quasiparticle picture.

$$\Psi 
ightarrow U\Psi = \Phi = egin{pmatrix} a(ec{
ho},t) \ b^\dagger(-ec{
ho},t) \end{pmatrix}$$

Distribution functions

 $f_e(\vec{p},t) = \langle a^{\dagger}(\vec{p},t)a(\vec{p},t) \rangle \qquad f_h(\vec{p},t) = \langle b^{\dagger}(-\vec{p},t)b(-\vec{p},t) \rangle$ 

• Equation of motion: 
$$i\frac{d}{dt}\Psi = v_F \vec{P}\vec{\sigma}\Psi$$

<sup>1</sup>Based on works by Smolyansky S. A., Blaschke D., Schmidt S. ...,e.g. see [Smolyansky et. al. Particles (2020); 2004.03759] Biplab Mahato (UWr) KE approach to Graphene

## Kinetic Equation

Numerical Solutions

• Kinetic Equation

$$\dot{f}(\vec{p},t) = rac{1}{2}\lambda(\vec{p},t)\int_{t_0}^t dt'\lambda(\vec{p},t')(1-2f(\vec{p},t'))\cos\theta(t,t')$$

where 
$$\lambda(\vec{p}, t) = ev_F^2 \frac{E_1 P_2 - E_2 P_1}{\varepsilon(\vec{p}, t)^2}$$
,  $\theta(t, t') = \frac{2}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t dt'' \varepsilon(\vec{p}, t'')$  and  
 $\varepsilon(\vec{p}, t) = v_F \sqrt{P_1^2 + P_2^2}$ 

э

<ロト <回ト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト -

## Kinetic Equation

Numerical Solutions

• Kinetic Equation

$$\dot{f}(\vec{p},t) = rac{1}{2}\lambda(\vec{p},t)\int_{t_0}^t dt'\lambda(\vec{p},t')(1-2f(\vec{p},t'))\cos\theta(t,t')$$

where 
$$\lambda(\vec{p}, t) = ev_F^2 \frac{E_1 P_2 - E_2 P_1}{\varepsilon(\vec{p}, t)^2}$$
,  $\theta(t, t') = \frac{2}{\hbar} \int_{t'}^t dt'' \varepsilon(\vec{p}, t'')$  and  $\varepsilon(\vec{p}, t) = v_F \sqrt{P_1^2 + P_2^2}$ 

 The above Integral equation is equivalent to the following set of Ordinary Differential Equations

$$\dot{f} = rac{1}{2}\lambda u \qquad \dot{u} = \lambda(1-2f) - rac{2arepsilon}{\hbar}v \qquad \dot{v} = rac{2arepsilon}{\hbar}u$$

• These can be solved numerically for any given external electric field E.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### The numerical method works for all kind of external field model

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Results

#### The numerical method works for all kind of external field model

• Sauter Pulse

$$E(t) = E_0 \cosh^{-2}(\kappa t)$$



э

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

### Results

#### The numerical method works for all kind of external field model



The created pair align (in momentum space) along the direction of the applied electric field

A B A A B A

## Approximate Solution<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup>[Blaschke et al., (2022); 2201.10594] Biplab Mahato (UWr) KE approa

KE approach to Graphene

э

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

## Approximate Solution<sup>2</sup>

• Low Density Approximation  $f \ll 1$ 

$$f(t) = \left(rac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^t dt'\lambda(t')\cos heta(t',-\infty)
ight)^2 + \left(rac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^t dt'\lambda(t')\sin heta(t',-\infty)
ight)^2$$

- Effective mass Approximation
  - Replace momentum with its time average

$$P^2 \rightarrow < P(t)^2 >= p^2 + e^2 < A(t)^2 >= p^2 + e^2 m_*^2 v_F^2$$
  
 $\lambda_*(\vec{p}, t) = -\frac{ev_F^2}{\varepsilon_*^2(\vec{p})} E(t) := \Lambda(p)E(t)$ 

<sup>2</sup>[Blaschke et al., (2022); 2201.10594] Biplab Mahato (UWr) KE appro

( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

## Approximate Solution<sup>2</sup>

• Low Density Approximation  $f \ll 1$ 

$$f(t) = \left(rac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^t dt'\lambda(t')\cos heta(t',-\infty)
ight)^2 + \left(rac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^t dt'\lambda(t')\sin heta(t',-\infty)
ight)^2$$

- Effective mass Approximation
  - Replace momentum with its time average

$$P^2 \rightarrow < P(t)^2 >= p^2 + e^2 < A(t)^2 >= p^2 + e^2 m_*^2 v_F^2$$

• 
$$\lambda_*(\vec{p},t) = -\frac{ev_F^2}{\varepsilon_*^2(\vec{p})}E(t) := \Lambda(p)E(t)$$

• Distribution functions can directly be computed via

$$f(ec{p},t)=\Lambda^2(ec{p})\left(rac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^t dt'\lambda_*(t')\cos heta_*(t',-\infty)
ight)^2$$

<sup>2</sup>[Blaschke et al., (2022); 2201.10594]

Biplab Mahato (UWr)

(B)

## Comparison



4 3 4 3 4 3 4

## Summary and Outlook

- Graphene can be a testing ground to gain insight about the structure of QED vacuum.
- Recently some experimental signature of this process was seen in graphene superlattices. [Berdyugin et. al., Science, 2022]

Future Works

- Include back-reaction and collision terms
- Gapped system [ S. P. Gavrilov, D. M. Gitman, Phys. Rev. D,1996]

$$\langle j(t) 
angle pprox t E^{rac{d+1}{2}} \exp\left(rac{-\pi\Delta^2}{ev_F E \hbar}
ight)$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

## Thank You

3

### Supplimentary slide 1[Dora B., Moessner R., Phys Rev B., 2010]



$$N(t) = rac{2eE}{\pi^2 v_F \hbar} \sqrt{rac{v_F eEt^2}{\hbar}} pprox E^{3/2} t$$

Biplab Mahato (UWr)

( ) < ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) < )
 ( ) <

### Comparison with other methods

[Panferov A., EPJ WoC 204,06008 (2019); 1901.01395]

