#### Algorithmic Differentiation of Structured Mesh Applications

Gábor Dániel Balogh Supervisor: dr. István Reguly

Pázmány Péter Catholic University Faculty of Information Technology and Bionics

October 20, 2020



• Algorithmic Differentiation is used to evaluate derivatives of the function which defined by a computer program

 Algorithmic Differentiation is used to evaluate derivatives of the function which defined by a computer program

Why AD?

- Numerical methods require derivatives
- There are three main ways of automating computation of derivatives
  - Finite differentiation slow for high dimension, lower accuracy
  - Symbolic differentiation cannot handle some programming constructs
  - Algorithmic Differentiatoin exact solution, fast

Our program:  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , from som input  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  generates some output  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

Our program:  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , from som input  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  generates some output  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

Our goal is to get the Jacobian J (or a part of it):

$$J_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$$

Our program:  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ , from som input  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  generates some output  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

Our goal is to get the Jacobian J (or a part of it):

$$J_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$$

But how to get there?

Assume that we can write f as a composite of K functions:

$$f = f^{K} \circ f^{K-1} \circ \ldots \circ f^{1}$$

Assume that we can write f as a composite of K functions:

$$f = f^{K} \circ f^{K-1} \circ \ldots \circ f^{1}$$

Then we can write the Jacobian as:

$$J = J_L \cdot J_{L-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot J_1$$

Assume that we can write f as a composite of K functions:

$$f = f^{K} \circ f^{K-1} \circ \ldots \circ f^{1}$$

Then we can write the Jacobian as:

$$J = J_L \cdot J_{L-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot J_1$$

There are two mode of AD:

- Forward (tangent) mode computes  $J \cdot u = J_L \cdot J_{L-1} \cdot \dots \cdot J_1 \cdot u$ , for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- Backward (adjoint) mode computes  $J^T \cdot w = J_1^T \cdot J_2^T \cdot \cdots \cdot J_K^T \cdot w$ , for  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Backward (adjoint) mode computes  $J^T \cdot w = J_1^T \cdot J_2^T \cdot \cdots \cdot J_K^T \cdot w$ , for  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

Use w such that the  $i^{th}$  element of w is 1, and the others are 0.

•  $J^T \cdot w$  will produce the  $i^{th}$  row of J

Backward (adjoint) mode computes  $J^T \cdot w = J_1^T \cdot J_2^T \cdot \cdots \cdot J_K^T \cdot w$ , for  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

Use w such that the  $i^{th}$  element of w is 1, and the others are 0.

•  $J^T \cdot w$  will produce the  $i^{th}$  row of J

Evaluate it one step at a time

- Only need the derivative of one function of the chain
- If we choose the  $f_i$  decomposition carefully, we can implement AD efficiently

Backward (adjoint) mode computes  $J^T \cdot w = J_1^T \cdot J_2^T \cdot \cdots \cdot J_K^T \cdot w$ , for  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

Use w such that the  $i^{th}$  element of w is 1, and the others are 0.

•  $J^T \cdot w$  will produce the  $i^{th}$  row of J

Evaluate it one step at a time

- Only need the derivative of one function of the chain
- If we choose the  $f_i$  decomposition carefully, we can implement AD efficiently
- But to get  $J_i$  we need the state of the program at  $f_i$

Backward (adjoint) mode computes  $J^T \cdot w = J_1^T \cdot J_2^T \cdot \dots \cdot J_K^T \cdot w$ , for  $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ 

Commonly implemented with operator overloading

- $f_i$  is an elementary operation, easy to compute  $J_i^T \cdot w'$
- But we produce enormous chains, and need to store every state of every variable

# DSLs for future proof HPC applications

- Fast-changing hardware
  - infeasible to maintain code to support all of them

- Embedded Domain Specific Languages (eDSL) can hide platform specific details
  - future proof, perforamnce portable solutions for application developers

### Oxford Parallel library for Structured mesh solvers

OPS (Oxford Parallel library for Structured mesh solvers)

- C++ library with high-level API calls for structured mesh applications
- High level concepts
  - grids
  - data on grids
  - loops over subgrid accessing data
- generate parallel implementations of loops for all hardware



- Each loop that performs computation must be a call of ops\_par\_loop
  - takes the loop body as a function
  - descriptors of datasets: access type, stencil of access
- OPS generates the implementation for all ops\_par\_loop

- Each loop that performs computation must be a call of ops\_par\_loop
  - takes the loop body as a function
  - descriptors of datasets: access type, stencil of access
- OPS generates the implementation for all ops\_par\_loop

If we have all these information, can we do AD?

If we have all these information, can we do AD?

- OPS already has control over the sequence of parallel loops.
- If we have derivatives of these loops instead of the elementary operations we can evaluate the chain rule on the **loop level**.
  - We assume that either the user will supply the derivative or we can use source transformation to get it

If we have all these information, can we do AD?

- OPS already has control over the sequence of parallel loops.
- If we have derivatives of these loops instead of the elementary operations we can evaluate the chain rule on the **loop level**.
  - We assume that either the user will supply the derivative or we can use source transformation to get it
- Features missing to perform the backward sweep:
  - store intermediate states
  - reversing data flow inside loops

#### Intermediate state storage - tape

 Generated code registers loops and create copies of overwritten data to a data structure (tape).





#### Reversing data flow

The second problem is that we need to parallelise the adjoints of the stencil loops as well.

Figure 1: Example computational step in OPS given by the user (a) for compute results and (b) to compute the derivatives backwards

1	<pre>inline void mean_kernel(</pre>
2	const OPS_ACC <double> &amp;u,</double>
3	OPS_ACC <double> &amp;u_2) {</double>
4	u_2(0, 0) = (u(-1, 0) + u(1, 0)
5	+ u(0, -1) + u(0, 1)) * 0.25;
6	}

a: Compute the mean of neighbours for each grid point

1	<pre>inline void mean_kernel_adjoint (</pre>
2	const OPS_ACC <double> &amp;u,</double>
3	OPS_ACC <double> &amp;u_als,</double>
4	<pre>const OPS_ACC<double> &amp;u_2,</double></pre>
5	OPS_ACC <double> &amp;u_2_a1s) {</double>
6	u_als(-1,0) += 0.25 * u_2_als(0, 0);
7	u_als(1, 0) += 0.25 * u_2_als(0, 0);
8	u_als(0,-1) += 0.25 * u_2_als(0, 0);
9	u_als(0, 1) += 0.25 * u_2_als(0, 0);
10	}

b: The corresponding adjoint kernel

## Reversing data flow

Writing pattern in forward code. No race condition allowed.



Reversed stencil for adjoints. Race conditions on each adjoint.



13 / 18

### Avoiding race conditions

• CPU: Red black colouring creating red and black stripes for each thread



• CUDA: overloading operators to use atomics for adjoints

### Performance: CPU

Our best performance on example apps produce derivatives under less then  $6 \times$  of the primal code, which is close to the theoretical optimum on a representative code from finance.



### Performance: V100

Naive GPU implementation of the adjoint loops on typical problem sizes takes  $10 - 25 \times$  of the primal.



## Current solution: Memory

Another problem of the current implementation is that checkpointing requires too much memory.

	Memory (GB)	With checkpointing (GB)		
		iteration count		
Grid Size	primal	10	100	200
512 imes256	0.025	0.292	1.788	3.792
1024  imes 512	0.094	0.892	6.902	12.90
$1024\times1024$	0.188	1.946	13.04	26.04

We extended the OPS library with adjoint aware API.

- Successfully parallelised the computations of adjoints for CPUs and GPUs
- Showed promising runtime performance
- But the current implementation requires too much memory
  - Currently working on an implementation for recomputing loops

Supported by the  $\acute{\rm U}\rm NKP-19-3-I$  New National Excellence Program of the Ministry for Innovation and Technology