

# Truncated spectrum methods and the self-duality of the sinh-Gordon model

Based on Konik, Lájer, Mussardo, arXiv:2007.00154

**Lájer Márton Kálmán** (ELTE, Wigner)

(PhD student of Zoltán Bajnok)

### **Collaborators:**

**Robert Konik** Brookhaven National Laboratory CMPMS **Giuseppe Mussardo** SISSA and INFN, Sezione di Trieste

Wigner RC Theory Department Seminar, October 16, 2020

## Contents

#### Introduction

Motivation sinh-Gordon model Basics of TSM

#### **1. Cutoff dependence**

TSM results, power-law fits Singularities of RG improvement: origin and resummation

2. UV behavior Reflection quantization Effective potential Question of extra terms

**3. Form factor TSM** Sanity checks Numerical performance Argument for b>1

> Conclusions Discussion

## Introduction

## Quantum field theory (QFT)

- Framework for describing the fundamental interactions of Nature: At least 3 out of 4 (weak, EM, strong)
- Effective models in particle, statistical and solid state physics
- In most cases, only approximate solutions available





D=2(=1+1): integrability

Higher spin conserved charges

$$S_{ij}^{kl}(\vartheta_i - \vartheta_j)$$

 $j \neq k$ 

 $j \neq k$ 

Finite volume L (1 particle type):

Higher spin conserved chargesP-dependent translation invariance.Factorised  
S-mátrix
$$S_{ij}^{kl}(\vartheta_i - \vartheta_j)$$
• Dynamics completely determined by 2->2 S-matrices  
• (Almost) exclusively completely elastic scattering  
• At most particle type can change, but not its rapidityFinite volume L (1 particle type): $S(\vartheta) = e^{i\delta(\vartheta)}$  $j \in \{1..n\}$ : $e^{ip_j L} \prod_{j \neq k}^n S(\vartheta_j - \vartheta_k) = 1$ Wave function periodic $\longrightarrow$  Discrete spectrum! $Q_j^0(\{\vartheta\}) \equiv p_j L + \sum_{j \neq k}^n \delta(\vartheta_j - \vartheta_k) = 2\pi I_j, \quad I_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ Bethe-Yang equation  
Polynomial corrections in 1/L $Q_j(\{\vartheta\}) \equiv Q_j^0(\{\vartheta\}) + \delta Q(\{\vartheta\}) = 2\pi I_j$ Excited state TBA momentum quantization  
Exact.  $Q(e^{-ML})$  corrections summed up

Bethe-YangExc. TBA (exact)Energy levels
$$E(\{I_j\}) = \sum_{j=1}^n M \cosh \vartheta_j$$
 $E(\{I_j\}) = \sum_{j=1}^n M \cosh \vartheta_j + \delta E(\{I_j\})$ 

Correlators:

$$\langle O_1(x)O_2\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \frac{d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n}{n! (2\pi)^n} \left\langle \operatorname{vac} |O(x)| \,\theta_1 \dots \theta_n \right\rangle_{\operatorname{in}} \left\langle \theta_1 \dots \theta_n \left| O(x) \right| \operatorname{vac} \right\rangle$$

Form factors	Polynomial	Exponential
Diagonal	Pozsgay-Takács Bajnok-Wu	Leclair-Mussardo, Pozsgay-Szécsényi-Takács, Negro-Smirnov, Bajnok-Smirnov
Non-diagonal	Pozsgay-Takács	Bajnok-Balog-Lájer-Wu, Bajnok-Lájer-Szépfalvi-Vona

### Sinh-Gordon model (ShG)

#### Lagrangian definition (path integrál)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{16\pi} (\partial_{\nu} \varphi)^2 - 2\mu \cosh(b\varphi) \quad \text{ integrable}$$

#### Viewpoints:

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Gauss}} - 2\mu \cosh(b\varphi)$ 

sine-Gordon analytic continuation

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm m} - \left(\frac{m^2}{b^2}\cosh(b\varphi) - \frac{m^2}{2}\varphi^2\right)$$

1 particle, completely elastic scattering

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Liouville}}^{b} - \mu e^{-b\varphi} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Liouville}}^{-b} - \mu e^{b\varphi}$$

 $b \rightarrow \frac{1}{b}, \mu \rightarrow \tilde{\mu}$  Duality in *analytically continued* quantities, Seiberg bounds

#### S-matrix bootstrap

$$S(\theta) = \frac{\sinh \theta - i \sin \pi B}{\sinh \theta + i \sin \pi B}$$

Exact finite volume spectrum as function of physical mass (Relative) form factors (form factor bootstrap)

#### **CONJECTURE:**

The above S-matrix describes scattering in the lagrangian theory on the left (after renormalization), furthermore:  $B(b) = \frac{b^2}{1 + b^2}$ 



 $Z_{\alpha}(L), \tilde{E}_{0}^{(\alpha)}(L)$  depend on the choice of the unperturbed part; exact determination possible if  $H_{0}^{(\alpha)}$  Is a free model

Exact, finite relation between 
$$\mu_{ShG}$$
 and  $M_{ShG}$   
(well-supported conjecture):  
$$\mu_{ShG} = \frac{m^{2+2b^2}}{2^{4+2b^2}\pi b^2} e^{2b^2\gamma_E}$$
$$M_{ShG} = \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2+2b^2}\right)\Gamma\left(1+\frac{b^2}{2+2b^2}\right)} \left[-\mu_{ShG} \frac{\pi\Gamma(1+b^2)}{\Gamma(-b^2)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2+2b^2}}$$
**b>1 complex!**

Similar problems with VEV:

$$\begin{split} \langle e^{a\varphi}\rangle &\sim M_{\rm ShG}^{-2a^2} \\ \langle e^{a\varphi}\rangle &< 0, \quad |\alpha| > \frac{Q}{2}, \quad Q = b + b^{-1} \qquad \text{Seiberg-bounds?} \end{split}$$

Step 1: Expand H(L) to order  $b^4$  (time-independent /old-fashioned/ perturbation theory around free massive boson



Spectrum of H(L) is discrete: Truncated Spectrum Method (TSM)

$$H = H_{\rm ZM} + H_{\rm osc} + H_1$$

- 1. Variational method: introduce an (energy) cutoff  $\Lambda$  in the unperturbed Hilbert space; search eigenvectors/eigenvalues in this finite basis
- 2. Useful to treat quantum mechanical zero mode separately: Particle in a box of width D with cosh potential
- 3. Try to correct effect of cutoff  $\Lambda$
- 4. Dependence on  $\Lambda$  negligible for small b





1.0

1.5

— <1|e<sup>b\$</sup>|01>

2.0

ML

2.5

3.0

## 1. Cutoff dependence

### (Massless) truncated TSM basis

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ a_{n_1}^{\dagger} \dots a_{n_k}^{\dagger} |0\rangle \otimes a_{-m_1}^{\dagger} \dots a_{-m_l}^{\dagger} |0\rangle \otimes |s\rangle \sum_{i=1}^k n_k \le N_c \sum_{m=1}^l n_l \le N_c; s = 1, \cdots, N_{ZM} \right\}$$

$$H_0 = H_{ZM} + H_{NZM}$$

$$H_{ZM} = \frac{4\pi}{L} \Pi_0^2 + \mu_{ShG} L \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \left[e^{b\varphi_0} + e^{-b\varphi_0}\right]$$

$$H_{NZM} = \frac{2\pi}{L} \left( L_0 + \bar{L}_0 - \frac{1}{12} \right) \qquad L_0 = \sum_{n>0} n a_n^{\dagger} a_n \ , \ \bar{L}_0 = \sum_{n<0} |n| a_n^{\dagger} a_n$$

### Perturbation

$$\int_0^L dx \, V_{pert}(x) = \delta_{P,0} \mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} L\left[e^{b\varphi_0}\left(:e^{b\tilde{\varphi}(0)}:-1\right) + e^{-b\varphi_0}\left(:e^{-b\tilde{\varphi}(0)}:-1\right)\right]$$

$$\varphi(x,\tau) = \varphi_0(\tau) + \tilde{\varphi}(x,\tau) \equiv \varphi_0(\tau) + \varphi_R(x,\tau) + \varphi_L(x,\tau) + \varphi_R^{\dagger}(x,\tau) + \varphi_L^{\dagger}(x,\tau)$$

Normal ordering:

$$:e^{b\varphi(x,\tau)}:\equiv e^{b\phi_0(\tau)}e^{b\varphi_R^{\dagger}(x,\tau)}e^{b\varphi_R(x,\tau)}e^{b\varphi_L^{\dagger}(x,\tau)}e^{b\varphi_L($$

$$\varphi_R(x,\tau) = \sum_{n>0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{|n|}} a_n e^{ik_n x - k_n \tau} \qquad \qquad \varphi_L(x,\tau) = \sum_{n<0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{|n|}} a_n e^{ik_n x - |k_n| \tau}$$

## Finite volume ( $M_{ShG}L=1$ ) energy levels



## Finite volume ( $M_{ShG}L=1$ ) energy levels



## Accuracy with respect to TBA



Mass and vacuum energy density



 $S(\theta) = e^{i\delta(\theta)}$ 

## S matrix parameter B





 $\mu_{ShG} = 0.1, L = 6$ 



 $\mu_{ShG} = 0.1, L = 2$ 

#### **Increasing b**: A-dependence strengthens

- Techniques exist to decrease cutoff dependence (Pl. Lencsés-Takács, Hogervorst-Rychkov-van Rees, Elias-Miró-Rychkov-Vitale,...)
- All based on an expansion in a coupling constant
- Expansion in b: OK, but we get a Landau-Ginzburg model
- Expansion in  $\mu_{\rm ShG}$ :

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_{ll} + \delta H \qquad \delta H = H_{lH} \frac{1}{E_* - H_{HH}} H_{Hl}$$

$$\delta H = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \delta H_n \mu_{\rm ShG}^n$$

$$\delta H_2 \propto \Lambda^{4b^2-2}$$
 Singular for  $b \geq rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

$$\delta H_n \propto \Lambda^{2(n^2-n)b^2-2n+2}$$
Singular for  $b \geq rac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ 

All b>0: infinitely many singular terms!

TSM numerics show no trace of any of these divergences!

## Toy example

$$f(\mu, \epsilon) = \int_{\epsilon}^{1} dx (x + \mu)^{\frac{1}{b^2} - 1}$$
$$f(\mu, 0) = b^2 ((1 + \mu)^{\frac{1}{b^2}} - \mu^{\frac{1}{b^2}})$$

Attempt an expansion around  $\mu$ =0:

$$f(\mu,\epsilon) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^k \binom{b^{-2}-1}{k} \int_{\epsilon}^1 x^{b^{-2}-1-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^k \binom{b^{-2}-1}{k} \frac{1}{k-b^{-2}} (\epsilon^{b^{-2}-k}-1)$$

Singular as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  for  $k > b^{-2}$ 

**Observation 1**: Same type of divergences appear in expansion of energy levels

True  $\mu$ -dependence of energy levels from small volume expansion of TBA:

$$E_n = \frac{1}{L} \sum_k \frac{\alpha_k}{\log^k \mu} + O(\mu)$$

**Observation 2**: TSM data can be fitted robustly with the function  $E(\Lambda) = E_{extrap} + c\Lambda^{\gamma}$ 

New strategy: Try to resum the series  $E(\Lambda) = \sum_{n} \alpha_n \Lambda^{2(n^2-n)b^2-2n+2}$ for finite  $\Lambda$  and study the asymptotics of the resummed function. It can be shown (with reasonably weak assumptions), that the asymptotics is indeed a power-law, and the predicted exponent is

$$\gamma = \frac{(b^2 - 1)^2}{2b^2}$$



## 2. UV behavior

### Zero mode quantization

$$H_{\exp} = \frac{2\pi}{L} \left( 2\Pi_0^2 + Me^{b\varphi_0} \right) \qquad M = 2\pi\mu_{ShG} \left( \frac{L}{2\pi} \right)^{2+2b^2} \qquad \bigvee_{\mathbb{Z}M}(\varphi_0)$$
$$\psi(x) \simeq e^{iPx} + e^{-iPx} S_{sc}(P) \qquad P = \sqrt{\frac{LE}{4\pi}} \qquad \underbrace{\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{P})} \ \underbrace{\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{P})} \ \underbrace{\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{P})} \$$

φ<sub>0</sub>

Reflection amplitude in exponential potential:

$$S_{sc}(P) = \left(-\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{-4iPQ} \left(\frac{\pi\mu_{ShG}}{b^2}\right)^{-\frac{2iP}{b}} \frac{\Gamma\left(1+2iP/b\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-2iP/b\right)}\right)$$
Approximate quantization in cosh potential:
$$S_{sc}(P)^2 = 1$$

### Liouville quantization (UV limit of TBA)

$$E_n = \frac{2\pi}{L} \left( 2P_n^2 - \frac{1}{12} \right) \qquad \qquad \rho = \frac{L}{2\pi} \frac{M}{4\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-B}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2+B}{2}\right)$$

$$e^{2i\Theta(P)} = -\bar{b}^{\underline{8iP}}\bar{b}\rho^{-4iPQ(\bar{b})}\frac{\Gamma\left(1+2iP\bar{b}\right)\Gamma\left(1+2iP\bar{b}^{-1}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+2iP\bar{b}\right)\Gamma\left(1+2iP\bar{b}^{-1}\right)}$$

quantization condition:

$$2\Theta(P) = n\pi, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$$

## They are different!

 $u = \left[ \ln \left( \mu_{ShG} \left( \frac{L}{2\pi} \right)^{2+2b^2} \right) \right]^{-1}$ 

Semiclassics:

$$E_0 = \frac{2\pi}{L} b^2 \pi^2 \left( \frac{u^2}{2} - \kappa_S u^3 + \frac{3}{2} \kappa_S^2 u^4 - 2 \left( \kappa_S^3 - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \zeta(3) \right) u^5 + \dots \right)$$

TBA (Liouville) reflection:

$$E_0 = \frac{2\pi}{L}b^2\pi^2 \left(\frac{u^2}{2} - \kappa_L u^3 + \frac{3}{2}\kappa_L^2 u^4 - 2\left(\kappa_L^3 - \frac{\pi^2}{3}\left(1 + b^6\right)\zeta(3)\right)u^5 + \dots\right)$$

$$\kappa_S = \left(2\gamma_E + \ln\frac{\pi}{b^2}\right) \qquad \qquad \kappa_L = \left(2\left(1+b^2\right)\gamma_E + \ln\frac{\pi\Gamma\left(b^2\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-b^2\right)}\right)$$

### Effective zero-mode potential

$$H^{(ShG)} = H^{(0)}_{cyl} + \frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \cosh\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \cosh\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \cosh\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \cosh\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \cosh\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \cosh\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \cosh\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \cosh\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \cosh\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \exp\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \exp\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \tilde{\varphi}^2(x) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \exp\left(b\varphi\left(x,0\right)\right) : -\frac{m_{eff}^2}{16\pi} \int_0^L dx : \Phi^2\left(x,0\right) : +2\mu_{ShG} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^L dx : \Phi^2\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \int_0^$$

Allow  $m_{eff}$  to depend on  $\phi_0$ ! Transcendental equation:

$$m_{\text{eff}}^2\left(\varphi_0\right) = 16\pi\mu_{ShG}b^2\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2}e^{\frac{2\pi}{L}b^2S_1\left(m_{\text{eff}},L\right)}\cosh b\varphi_0$$

Perform a zero-mode dependent Bogoliubov transform (diagonalizing first two terms of H)

$$H_{ZM}^{\text{eff}} = \left(\frac{4\pi}{L}\Pi_0^2 - \frac{\pi}{6L}\right) + 2\mu_{ShG}L\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} e^{\frac{2\pi}{L}b^2S_1(m_{\text{eff}}(\varphi_0),L)}\cosh\left(b\varphi_0\right) + \tilde{S}_2\left(m_{\text{eff}}\left(\varphi_0\right),L\right)$$

### Small-volume limit from effective potential

Asymptotic Schrödinger equation

$$-y''(x) + re^{\pm x}y(x) - \epsilon^2 e^{\pm 2x}y(x) = c^2 y(x), \quad x \to \pm \infty$$
$$x = b\varphi_0 + \ln a, \quad a = \mu_{ShGL} \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{2b^2} \qquad r = \frac{L}{4\pi b^2}$$

Reflection amplitude

$$S_2(P) = -\left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^{-4iPQ} \left(4\pi ib\,\mu_{ShG}\sqrt{\zeta(3)}\right)^{-\frac{2iP}{b}} \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{2iP}{b}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{2iP}{b}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{iP}{b}-\frac{i}{4b^3\zeta(3)}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{iP}{b}-\frac{i}{4b^3\zeta(3)}\right)}$$

Quantization condition:

$$-i\ln\left(-S_2(P)\right) = n\pi$$

### Extra terms to the Hamiltonian? (e.g. $\tilde{\mu}e^{\frac{1}{b}\varphi}$ )

- Idea: examine the UV spectrum
- Most precise results of TSM expected at small volumes
- L->0: Energy of oscillator states diverges, naively one expects the zero mode to dominate
- Instead, the differences between zero-mode and exact energies diverge at L->0

	numeric		
Semi-classical(ZM)	Exact (TBA)	Effective ZM potential (new)	TSM <mark>(new)</mark>
$S_0^2(P) = 1$	$S_L^2(P) = 1$	$S_2^2(P) = 1$	
$O(b^8)$ error		$O(b^{12})$ error	Best approximation to exact energies

Both analytical and numerical confirmation that the presence of oscillators completely explains the L->0 behavior. By all accounts there's no need of any extra terms in the (bare) potential



Blue dots: Raw (Nc = 12) TSM data. Orange dots: extrapolated TSM data. Purple dots: eigenvalues of H<sub>ZM</sub>. Dashed green curve: semi-classical reflection quantization. Continuous red curve: Liouville reflection quantization. Red dots: eigenvalues of effective ZM Hamiltonian.

## 3. Form factor TSM

#### Form factor TSM

Based on what has been learned so far: construct the Hamiltonian on the basis of eigenstates of a different sinh-Gordon model (using form factors)

$$H = H_{b_0}^{(ShG)} + H_1$$

$$H_{b_0}^{(ShG)} = H_0 + 2\mu_0 \int_0^L dx \cosh b_0 \varphi \quad \text{diagonal}$$

$$H_1 = \int_0^L dx \left( 2\mu_1 \cosh b_1 \varphi - 2\mu_0 \cosh b_0 \varphi \right) \quad \text{Mátrix elements known (up to exponentially small corrections)}$$

$$b_0 \to 0 \qquad b_1 = b_0 + \epsilon$$

Sanity checks:

Reproducing matrix elements of massive basis

Exact mass-coupling relation from form factor perturbation theory



Based on this, Lagrangian sinh-Gordon theory probably describes a massless theory above the self-dual point.

## Conclusions

Sinh-Gordon model: simplest interacting QFT in 1+1D

Lagrangian versus S-matrix definition

Self-dual S-matrix confirmed for b<1 Presence of Seiberg bounds likely

Delicacies with RG improvement: **PT singularities explained** Supra-Borel resummation Origins of power-law fit

UV behavior: oscillators account for L->0 energy discrepancy (zero-mode QM vs TBA) **No need for extra terms in (bare) Hamiltonian** 

> Form factor TSM: Sanity checks explained Surprising behavior of cutoff dependence Hints of massless Lagrangian theory for b>1

## Discussion

#### **IS IT SELF-DUAL OR NOT?**

Self-dual S-matrix confirmed for b<1

Lagrangian framework may break down for b>1 (Is there a continuum limit of TSM at all?)

Do VEVs with |a|>Q/2 have a continuum limit? Are they zero?

Do we miss anything (physical) if we restrict to b<=1 and |a|>Q/2? (Exact S-matrix depends on B, matrix elements periodic in Q)

#### Conjecture

S-matrix is correct, but B(b) relation only holds for b<1 Lagrangian sinh-Gordon is massless for b>=1 (see also Sklyanin Operator content is restricted by the Seiberg bounds

# Thank you for your attention!

More information: R. Konik, M. Lájer, G. Mussardo,

Approaching the Self-Dual Point of the Sinh-Gordon model,

arXiv:2007.00154 [hep-th]

Under revision at JHEP



Photo: funiQ