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## Review on renormalons and the OPE prediction

## Perturbative expansions and trans-series

- Perturbative expansions of relevant quantities in a QFT are factorially divergent. For example, a propagating particle $\phi$ expanded in the coupling constant $\alpha>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\phi(x) \phi(y)\rangle(\alpha) & \propto \int \mathcal{D} \phi \mathrm{e}^{-\left(S_{\text {free }}[\phi]+\alpha S_{\text {int }}[\phi]\right)} \phi(x) \phi(y) \\
& \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\alpha^{n}}{n!} \int \mathcal{D} \phi \mathrm{e}^{-S_{\text {free }}[\phi]} \underbrace{S_{\text {int }}[\phi]^{n}}_{\text {Polynomial in } \phi} \phi(x) \phi(y)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} \alpha^{n} \\
& \text { where } a_{n} \stackrel{n \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} K r^{n} n^{b} n!
\end{aligned}
$$

$S$ is the action of the QFT and the coefficients $a_{n}$ can be computed from Feynman diagrams.

- To fully describe a quantity in QFT, we need to extend the perturbative series to a trans-series:

$$
\langle\phi(x) \phi(y)\rangle(\alpha) \sim \underbrace{\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} \alpha^{n}}_{\text {Factorially divergent }}+\mathrm{e}^{-2 / \alpha} \alpha^{-b} \underbrace{\sum_{n \geq 0} b_{n} \alpha^{n}}_{\text {Factorially divergent }}+\cdots
$$

(Accesible from perturbation theory)

## Borel summation and imaginary ambiguities

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}(\alpha)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} \alpha^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\text { Borel t. }} \widehat{\varphi}(\zeta)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{a_{n}}{n!} \zeta^{n} \xrightarrow{\text { Laplace t. }} \int_{0}^{\infty \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}} \mathrm{e}^{-\zeta / \alpha} \widehat{\varphi}(\zeta) \mathrm{d} \zeta .
$$

Large order behavior of Singularity in the perturbative series the Borel transform

Imaginary ambiguity
in the Borel sum

$$
a_{n} \sim\left(\frac{1}{\zeta_{0}}\right)^{n} n!\xrightarrow{\text { Borel t. }} \frac{1}{\left(1-\zeta / \zeta_{0}\right)} \xrightarrow{\text { Laplace t. }} \pm \mathrm{i} \pi \mathrm{e}^{-\zeta_{0} / \alpha}
$$

- Quantities we compute in QFT
 must be real (and unambiguous) for $\alpha>0$.
- This indicates we need to add imaginary ambiguous exponentials to the perturbative result:

Borel $\operatorname{sum}\left(\sum a_{n} \alpha^{n+1}\right) \mp \mathrm{i} \pi \mathrm{e}^{-\zeta_{0} / \alpha}$
is non-ambiguous.

## Instantons and renormalons

Factorial

divergence $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
Ambiguity in <br>
the Borel sum

$\longrightarrow \quad$

Addition of exponentially
\end{tabular}

■ 2 types of factorial divergence, classified according to their origin:
instantons and renormalons

- Instanton factorial divergence arises from increasing number of diagrams.
- However, we know that renormalon factorial divergences are also present in many QFTs, including realistic models like QED and QCD.


## Renormalon definition

Renormalons are the factorial divergence arising from small and large momentum in loop integrals.
Example of renormalon divergence (propagating electron at large $N$ ):


## $N$ charged particles

 contributing to the loop

$$
a_{0,0} g^{2}+\left(a_{1,0}+a_{1,1} N\right) g^{4}+\left(a_{2,0}+a_{2,1} N+a_{2,2} N^{2}\right) g^{6}+\cdots
$$

- We only select diagrams that give the highest power of $N$ at each fixed order in the coupling $g^{2}$ ( $N$ is the number of particles contributing to the loops).
- When we compute the loop integrals, the diagram at order $g^{2 m}$ gives a coefficient that goes like $m$ !.
- This factorial divergence is what we call renormalon, and is unrelated to the increase in number of diagrams.


## The operator product expansion (OPE)

- Renormalons are usually understood through the large $N$ limit and the operator product expansion (OPE).
- Renormalon locations in the Borel plane can be derived from general grounds in asymptotically free QFTs, by using the OPE (the arguments go back to Parisi, 't Hooft in 1970-1980).
- Typical example: The Adler function $D\left(q^{2}\right)$ in massless QCD:

$$
\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(x-y) q}\left\langle J_{\mu}(x) J_{\nu}(y)\right\rangle, \quad \text { quark current } J_{\mu}(x)=\bar{q}(x) \gamma_{\mu} q(x)
$$

QCD corrections


- OPE: $A(x)\left[=J_{\mu}(x)\right]$ and $B(y)\left[=J_{\nu}(y)\right]$ two local operators

$$
A(x) B(0)=\sum_{i} c_{i}(x) O_{i}(0), \quad x \rightarrow 0,
$$

where $O_{i}(0)$ are also local operators and $c_{i}(x)$ are the Wilson coefficients.

## The operator product expansion (OPE)

- To construct the OPE of the Adler function, we have to list all local operators that can be build from the fields:
$q_{i}$ quark field (dimension 3/2), $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a}$ gluon field (dimension 1).
- The OPE of the Adler function becomes an expansion at large $q$, when going to momentum space

$$
\begin{gathered}
D\left(q^{2}\right)=\overbrace{c_{\mathbb{I}}(\alpha)}^{\text {Perturbative part }}+\underbrace{\frac{0}{q^{1,2,3}}}_{\text {No operators of dim 1,2,3 }}+\overbrace{c_{G G}(\alpha)}^{\text {Expansion in the coupling }} \frac{\left\langle G_{\mu \nu} G^{\mu \nu}\right\rangle}{q^{4}}+\underbrace{\mathcal{O}\left(1 / q^{6}\right)}_{\text {Operators of higher dimension }} \\
\xrightarrow[\text { Increasing dimension of the operators }]{\longrightarrow}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $G_{\mu \nu}$ ( $\left.\operatorname{dim} 2\right)$ is the field strength tensor of the gluon.

- The lowest dimensional local operator, which is Lorentz and gauge invariant, and respects the symmetries of massless QCD, is $G_{\mu \nu} G^{\mu \nu}(\operatorname{dim} 4)$.
$\square \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{a}$ (dim 2) excluded from gauge invariance.
- $\bar{q}_{i} q_{i}(\operatorname{dim} 3)$ breaks chiral symmetry.


## OPE renormalon prediction

■ The OPE is an expansion at large $q^{2}$, but we can rewrite it as an expansion in the running coupling:

$$
\alpha\left(\mu^{2}=q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\beta_{0} \log \left(q^{2} / \Lambda^{2}\right)} \Longrightarrow \frac{\Lambda^{2 n}}{q^{2 n}}=\overbrace{\mathrm{e}^{-n /\left(\beta_{0} \alpha\right)}}^{\beta_{0}}
$$

where $\beta_{0}=\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(11-\frac{2 N}{3}\right)$ is the first coefficient of the QCD beta function, $N$ is the number of quarks and $\Lambda$ is the QCD scale parameter (perturbative approximations are not valid at energies $E<\Lambda$ ).
■ In terms of the coupling, the OPE of the Adler function becomes a trans-series

No operators of dim 1,2,3

$$
D\left(q^{2}\right)=c_{\mathbb{I}}(\alpha)+\overbrace{0}+c_{G G}(\alpha) \frac{\left\langle G_{\mu \nu} G^{\mu \nu}\right\rangle}{\Lambda^{4}} \mathrm{e}^{-2 /\left(\beta_{0} \alpha\right)}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-3 /\left(\beta_{0} \alpha\right)}\right)
$$

- The first exponential correction has an imaginary ambiguity (in $\left\langle G_{\mu \nu} G^{\mu \nu}\right\rangle$ ) that will fix the first singularity in the Borel plane.


## OPE renormalon prediction

Factorial divergence $\begin{gathered}\text { Operator of } \\ \text { dimension } d\end{gathered} \longrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{q^{d}} \sim \mathrm{e}^{-d /\left(2 \beta_{0} \alpha\right)}$$\longrightarrow \quad \begin{gathered}a_{n} \sim n!\left(\frac{1}{d /\left(2 \beta_{0}\right)}\right)^{n} \\ \text { Renormalon singularity }\end{gathered}$ ambiguity in the OPE

$$
\text { at } \zeta=\frac{d}{2 \beta_{0}}
$$

$\square$ Predicted renormalons for the Adler function $D\left(q^{2}\right)$, according to the OPE:
Borel plane $\zeta$


## OPE renormalon prediction

The renormalon locations are confirmed in the large $N$ limit of the Adler function (including the absence of renormalons close to the origin):


# Renormalons in integrable QFTs (Work with Marcos Mariño and Tomás Reis) 

## Asymptotically free integrable QFTs

Asymptotically free integrable 2-dimensional QFTs are very rich and exactly solvable, which makes them great toy models. E.g.:
$\square O(N)$ non-linear sigma model: $N$ scalar particles $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(x)=\left(\sigma_{1}(x), \ldots, \sigma_{N}(x)\right)$ satisfying the constraint $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(x)=1$ :

$$
\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, X, g)=\frac{1}{g^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \partial^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\sigma}+X(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}-1)\right\}
$$


( $X$ is a Lagrange multiplier that imposes the constraint $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(x) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}(x)=1$ ).
■ $O(N)$ Gross-Neveu model: $N$ fermions $\boldsymbol{\chi}(x)=\left(\chi_{1}(x), \ldots, \chi_{N}(x)\right)$ with a 4 vertex interaction:

$$
\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\chi}, g)=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \overline{\boldsymbol{\chi}} \cdot\left(\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\chi}\right)+\frac{g^{2}}{8}(\overline{\boldsymbol{\chi}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\chi})^{2}
$$



## The free energy $F(h)$

- In order to use integrability to our advantage, we add a chemical potential $h$ coupled to a conserved charge $Q$ such that it excites a single species of particles of the lowest mass $m$ in the ground state
$\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\begin{array}{c}\text { Hamiltonian } \\ \text { formalism }\end{array}} \mathrm{H} \mapsto \mathrm{H}-h \mathrm{Q} \xrightarrow{\substack{\text { Lagrangian } \\ \text { formalism }}} \mathcal{L}(h)=\mathcal{L}+\left\{\begin{array}{c}\begin{array}{c}\mathrm{i} h\left(\sigma_{1} \partial_{0} \sigma_{2}-\sigma_{2} \partial_{0} \sigma_{1}\right) \\ +h^{2}\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}\right), \\ h \bar{\chi}_{1} \gamma_{0} \chi_{1} .\end{array}\end{array}\right.$
$\square$ We are interested in the free energy per unit volume:

$$
F(h)=-\lim _{V, \beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V \beta} \log \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta(\mathrm{H}-h \mathrm{Q})} \propto
$$

Lowest eigenvalue of $H-h Q$.

- The free energy can be computed perturbatively from a path integral corresponding to diagrams with no external edges (vacuum diagrams). E.g. in the large $N$ limit, we would consider the diagrams



## The free energy from the Bethe ansatz

- The free energy can also be computed from the Bethe ansatz.
- The Fermi density of Bethe roots $\epsilon(\theta)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon(\theta)-\int_{-B}^{B} K\left(\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right) \epsilon\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta^{\prime}=h-\underset{\uparrow}{m} \cosh (\theta), \quad \epsilon( \pm B)=0, \\
\text { Mass gap } m \propto \mathrm{e}^{-1 /\left(N g^{2}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

where the kernel $K(\theta)$ is specified by the $S$-matrix of the excited particles:

$$
K(\theta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta} \log S(\theta)
$$

- The $S$-matrix can be derived from integrability.
$O(N)$ non-linear sigma model:

$$
S(\theta)=-\frac{\Gamma\left(1+\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\Delta+\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta-\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\Delta-\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}\right) \Gamma\left(\Delta+\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta}{2 \pi}\right)}, \quad \Delta=\frac{1}{N-2} .
$$

- $B$ has the role of a coupling in the Bethe ansatz setting:

$$
\frac{1}{B}=2 \beta_{0} g^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{4}\right), \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { ( } \beta_{0} \text { is the first coeff. } \quad \beta_{0}=\frac{N-2}{4 \pi} \text { for } \sigma \text { model) } . . ~
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extracting renormalons from the Bethe ansatz

- The free energy can then be computed as

$$
F(h)-F(0)=-\frac{m}{2 \pi} \int_{-B}^{B} \epsilon(\theta) \cosh (\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta .
$$

- The Bethe ansatz equations can be solved numerically for a given $B>0$.


## Important

The Bethe ansatz result contains "everything":
perturbative expansion $+\underbrace{\text { exponential corrections. }}$
ambiguity cancellation+other exponentials

- Extracting a perturbative expansion from the Bethe ansatz equations is a non-trivial exercise (involves treating the integral equations in Fourier space, using a Wiener-Hopf decompositon,...).
- Thanks to the work of D. Volin, it is possible to extract very long perturbative series for $F(h)-F(0)$ directly from the Bethe ansatz.


## Exponential corrections from the Bethe ansatz

- We analyzed the integral equations with the Wiener-Hopf method, as in old work [Wiegmann, Hasenfratz, Niedermayer, Balog, Wiesz,...], but incorporating exponentially small corrections that were previously neglected.
- This leads to fully analytic results for the trans-series of $F(h)-F(0)$.
$\square$ For example, for the $O(N=3)$ non-linear sigma model, we found $\left(\alpha=g^{2} /(2 \pi)+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{4}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(h)-F(0)=-\frac{h^{2}}{4 \pi}[\overbrace{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}+\mathcal{O}(\alpha)}^{\text {Perturbative series }} \\
&+\frac{32}{\mathrm{e}^{2}}\left(-\frac{2}{\alpha^{3}}\right.\left.+\frac{-\log (\alpha)-3+\gamma_{E}+5 \log (2)}{\alpha^{2}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{-1}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-2 / \alpha} \\
& \text { cancels instanton ambiguity in pert. series } \\
&+\frac{512}{\mathrm{e}^{4}}(\frac{1 \overbrace{\text { cancels renorma }}^{\alpha^{3}}+\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{-2}\right)) \mathrm{e}^{-4 / \alpha}+\mathcal{O}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-6 / \alpha}\right)]}{\sim \mathrm{i} \frac{m^{2}}{16}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Explicit imaginary ambiguities cancel with the ambiguities emerging from the Borel sum of each divergent series.

## Classifying the exponential corrections in instantons and renormalons

- Even more interesting is to compute the exponential corrections for general $N$. By then taking the large $N$ limit, we can identify if the exponential corrections have an instanton or a renormalon origin.


## Reminder

Instanton factorial divergences arise from the increasing number of diagrams. In the large $N$ limit, we only consider a selected number of diagrams in which instantons disappear, but renormalons survive.

- We found the following exponential corrections:
$O(N) \sigma$ model: $\quad \pm \mathrm{i} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{\alpha}(N-2) \ell\right) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad$ Instanton $O(N)$ G-N model: $\quad \pm \mathrm{i} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{N-2}{N-4} \ell\right) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \pm \mathrm{i} \exp \left(-\frac{2}{\alpha} \ell\right) \quad$ Renorm. where $\alpha=2 \beta_{0} g^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{4}\right)$ and $\ell=1,2,3, \ldots$
- The two models also have an exponential correction $\mathrm{e}^{-2 / \alpha}$, arising from the $m^{2}$ term. This exponential correction is of renormalon origin.


## Location of renormalons in integrable QFTs

Let us focus on Gross-Neveu, where everything is renormalons:
$\zeta_{0}=\frac{\ell}{\beta_{0}}, \ell \in \mathbb{N} \quad$ branch cuts at $\zeta=\frac{N-2}{N-4} \frac{\ell}{\beta_{0}}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}$


$$
\text { branch cut } \zeta=\frac{1}{\beta_{0}} \text { (arising from the } m^{2} \text { term) }
$$

- However, the complete renormalon prediction from the OPE would be:


■ This picture matches our result at large $N$, but not at finite $N$.

## Caveat

The free energy does not admit an OPE, but one expects the position of renormalons to be universal for all quantities computed in a given QFT.

## Conclusions

- Quantities in QFTs have factorially divergent perturbative series. To fully describe a quantity, we have to also add exponentially supressed terms in the coupling:

$$
\langle\phi(x) \phi(y)\rangle(\alpha) \sim \sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} \alpha^{n}+\mathrm{e}^{-2 / \alpha} \alpha^{-b} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_{n} \alpha^{n}+\cdots
$$

- An important type of factorial divergences are renormalons, which can be represented as singularities in the Borel plane and are associated with exponential corrections. Renormalons are mostly understood through the large $N$ limit and the OPE.
- By exploiting the integrability of some QFTs, we were able to test the OPE prediction about the position of renormalons. The OPE prediction seems to be a large $N$ approximation.
■ Is the original prediction really wrong? Is there an explanation for this discrepancy?

Many thanks!

