SENSITIVITY OF FINITE VOLUME EFFECTS TO THE VACUUM CONTRIBUTION

Győző Kovács PhD student Eötvös University Wigner RCP Margaret Island Symposium 2023 June 7, 2023 COLLABORATORS: PÉTER KOVÁCS, WICNER RCP POK MAN LO, WROCLAW U GYÖRGY WOLF, WICNER RCP KRZYSTOF REDLICH, WROCLAW U









What are the typical sizes?

- Typical size of the fireball in heavy ion collisions is a few fm.
- Neutron stars and compact stars built up from strongly interacting matter (with extra structure) with a size ~ 10 km.
- Several models with finite (different) size.
- In field theoretical calculations (LSM, NJL, DS, etc) usually the size is infinite.

Why does it matter?

- It can be seen that the properties of the system can change significantly.
- Example: in the phase diagram of strong interaction the CEP (and the first order region) might disappear.

The vicinity of the CEP is accessible with models that are in the thermodynamic limit. How to consider the finite size effects without losing the advantages of these models?



Various results in (P)NJL, (P)LSM, DS, etc. calculations. With discretization and low momentum cutoff as well.

Discretization

- LSM J. Phys. G 38, 085101 (2011) PoS FACESQCD, 017 (2010)
- NJL
 arXiv:1802.00258 [hep-ph]

 Mod.
 Phys. Lett. A 33, no.39, 1850232 (2018)

 Universe 8, no.5, 264 (2022)
- FRG Phys. Rev. D 73, 074010 (2006)
 Phys. Rev. D 90, no.5, 054012 (2014)
 Phys. Rev. D 95, no.5, 056015 (2017)
 Phys. Rept. 707-708, 1-51 (2017)
- D-S Phys. Rev. D 81, 094005 (2010)
 Phys. Rev. D 102, 114011 (2020)
 Phys. Rev. D 104, no.7, 074035 (2021)
 Phys. Lett. B 841, 137908 (2023)

Low cutoff

- J. Phys. G 44, no.2, 025101 (2017) Universe 5, no.4, 94 (2019)
- Phys. Rev. D 87, no.5, 054009 (2013)
 Phys. Rev. D 91, no.5, 051501 (2015)
 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32, no.13, 1750067 (2017)

Nucl. Phys. B 938, 298-306 (2019)

Various results in (P)NJL, (P)LSM, DS, etc. calculations. With discretization and low momentum cutoff as well.

Vacuum part		Discretization	Low cutoff
NO	\mathbf{LSM}	J. Phys. G 38 , 085101 (2011) PoS FACESQCD , 017 (2010)	J. Phys. G 44 , no.2, 025101 (2017) Universe 5 , no.4, 94 (2019)
Regularized	NJL	arXiv:1802.00258 [hep-ph] Mod. Phys. Lett. A 33 , no.39, 1850232 (2018) Universe 8 , no.5, 264 (2022)	Phys. Rev. D 87, no.5, 054009 (2013) Phys. Rev. D 91, no.5, 051501 (2015) Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 32, no.13, 1750067 (2017)
Separated Renorm.	FRG	 Phys. Rev. D 73, 074010 (2006) Phys. Rev. D 90, no.5, 054012 (2014) Phys. Rev. D 95, no.5, 056015 (2017) Phys. Rept. 707-708, 1-51 (2017) 	
Renorm.	D-S	Phys. Rev. D 81 , 094005 (2010) Phys. Rev. D 102 , 114011 (2020) Phys. Rev. D 104 , no.7, 074035 (2021) Phys. Lett. B 841 , 137908 (2023)	Nucl. Phys. B 938 , 298-306 (2019)

Few examples for the phase diagram:

\mathbf{LSM}

Palhares, Fraga and Kodama, J. Phys. G **38**, 085101 (2011)

NJL

Bhattacharyya, Deb, Ghosh, Ray and Sur, Phys. Rev. D 87, no.5, 054009 (2013)

QM model FRG

Tripolt, Braun, Klein and Schaefer, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no.5, 054012 (2014)

DS approach

Bernhardt, Fischer, Isserstedt and Schaefer, Phys. Rev. D **104**, no.7, 074035 (2021)



Only zero mode of vacuum part

No vacuum part

Few examples for the phase diagram:

LSM

Palhares, Fraga and Kodama, J. Phys. G **38**, 085101 (2011)

\mathbf{NJL}

Bhattacharyya, Deb, Ghosh, Ray and Sur, Phys. Rev. D 87, no.5, 054009 (2013)

QM model FRG

Tripolt, Braun, Klein and Schaefer, Phys. Rev. D 90, no.5, 054012 (2014)

DS approach

Bernhardt, Fischer, Isserstedt and Schaefer, Phys. Rev. D **104**, no.7, 074035 (2021)



Few examples for the phase diagram:

LSM

Palhares, Fraga and Kodama, J. Phys. G **38**, 085101 (2011)

NJL

Bhattacharyya, Deb, Ghosh, Ray and Sur, Phys. Rev. D 87, no.5, 054009 (2013)

QM model FRG

Tripolt, Braun, Klein and Schaefer, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no.5, 054012 (2014)

DS approach

Bernhardt, Fischer, Isserstedt and Schaefer, Phys. Rev. D **104**, no.7, 074035 (2021)



FINITE SIZE EFFECTS IN DIFFERENT MODELS

Few examples for the phase diagram:

LSM

Palhares, Fraga and Kodama, J. Phys. G **38**, 085101 (2011)

NJL

Bhattacharyya, Deb, Ghosh, Ray and Sur, Phys. Rev. D 87, no.5, 054009 (2013)

QM model FRG

Tripolt, Braun, Klein and Schaefer, Phys. Rev. D 90, no.5, 054012 (2014)

DS approach

Bernhardt, Fischer, Isserstedt and Schaefer, Phys. Rev. D **104**, no.7, 074035 (2021)



Vector and axial vector meson Extended Polyakov Quark-Meson model. (ePQM or ELSM) Effective model to study the phase diagram of strongly interacting matter at finite T and μ . Phys. Rev. D 93, no. 11, 114014 (2016)

- Quark-Meson model: "simple" linear sigma model with quarks and mesons
- Extended: Vector and Axial vector nonets (besides to Scalar and Pseudoscalar) Isospin symmetric case: 16 mesonic degrees of freedom.
- Polyakov: Polyakov loop variables give 2 order parameters Φ , $\overline{\Phi}$.
- The mesonic Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_m with chiral symmetry

 $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R \times U(1)_V \times U(1)_A \to SU(2)_I \times U(1)_V$

broken explicitly (and spontaneously) and with the axial anomaly taken into account



- \mathcal{L}_m contains the dynamical, the symmetry breaking, and the meson-meson interaction terms.
 - $U(1)_A$ anomaly and explicit breaking of the chiral symmetry.
 - Each meson-meson terms up o 4th order that are allowed by the chiral symmetry.
- Constituent quarks $(N_f = 2 + 1)$ in Yukawa Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = \bar{\psi} \left(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - g_F (S - i \gamma_5 P) - g_V \gamma^\mu (V_\mu + \gamma_5 A_\mu) \right) \psi \tag{1}$$

In the 2016 version $g_V = 0$ was used.

Phys. Rev. D 104, 056013 (2021)

- SSB with nonzero vev. for scalar-isoscalar sector ϕ_N , ϕ_S . $\Rightarrow m_{u,d} = \frac{g_F}{2} \phi_N$, $m_s = \frac{g_F}{\sqrt{2}} \phi_S$ fermion masses in \mathcal{L}_Y .
- Mean field level effective potential \rightarrow the meson masses and the thermodynamics are calculated from this.

The grand potential

Thermodynamics: Mean field level effective potential:

- Classical potential.
- Fermionic one-loop correction with vanishing fluctuating mesonic fields.

$$\bar{\psi} \left(i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - \operatorname{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s) \right) \psi$$

Functional integration over the fermionic fields. The momentum integrals are renormalized.

• Polyakov loop potential.

$$\Omega(T,\mu_q) = U_{Cl} + \operatorname{tr} \int_K \log\left(iS_0^{-1}\right) + U(\Phi,\bar{\Phi})$$
(2)

Field equations (FE):

$$\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\bar{\Phi}} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\Phi} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\phi_N} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\phi_S} = 0 \tag{3}$$

Curvature meson masses:

$$M_{ab}^2 = \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Omega}{\partial \varphi_a \partial \varphi_b} \right|_{\{\varphi_i\}=0} \tag{4}$$

The grand potential

Thermodynamics: Mean field level effective potential:

- Classical potential.
- Fermionic one-loop correction with vanishing fluctuating mesonic fields.

$$\bar{\psi} \left(i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - \operatorname{diag}(m_u, m_d, m_s) \right) \psi$$

Functional integration over the fermionic fields.

The momentum integrals are renormalized. Only this term is modified

• Polyakov loop potential.

$$\Omega(T,\mu_q) = U_{Cl} + \operatorname{tr} \int_K \log\left(iS_0^{-1}\right) + U(\Phi,\bar{\Phi})$$
(2)

Field equations (FE):

$$\frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\bar{\Phi}} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\Phi} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\phi_N} = \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial\phi_S} = 0 \tag{3}$$

Curvature meson masses:

$$M_{ab}^{2} = \left. \frac{\partial^{2} \Omega}{\partial \varphi_{a} \partial \varphi_{b}} \right|_{\{\varphi_{i}\}=0} \tag{4}$$

• Fermionic vacuum and matter contribution:

 $\Omega_{\bar{q}q}(T,\mu_q) = \Omega_{\bar{q}q}^{\mathrm{vac}} + \Omega_{\bar{q}q}^{\mathrm{mat}}(T,\mu_q)$

- The size dependence of $\Omega_{\bar{q}q}^{\text{vac}}$ pushes the system towards chiral restoration
- At T = 0 and $\mu_q = 0$ the physical quantities also show the restoration





- With L = ∞ vacuum part the chirally broken phase expands and the CEP is present even for very small sizes
- With *L* dependent vacuum part the chirally broken phase will be reduced.

The CEP disappears at L = 2.5 fm, as well as the broken phase at L = 2 fm.

- APBC and PBC is considered
- With size-dependent vacuum contribution the condensates will increase for APBC (if L > 1 fm) and for PBC
- The common solution of the field equation is lost around 4.5 fm.



MOMENTUM DISCRETIZATION

- APBC and PBC is considered
- With size-dependent vacuum contribution the condensates will increase for APBC (if L > 1 fm) and for PBC
- The common solution of the field equation is lost around 4.5 fm.







LSM A: J. Phys. G **38**, 085101 (2011) LSM B, C: Phys. Rev. D **79**, 014018 (2009)

- For the "low lying" models the $L \to \infty$ CEP and the $L \to 0$ CEP is not continuously connected
- For "higher lying" models there is a continuous path
- At L < 2 fm the CEP is governed by the first mode entering below the Fermi surface





- With vacuum part of $L = \infty$ new unphysical first-order transition below $L \approx 5.5$ fm
- With (partially included) size-dependent vacuum part the trend is reversed.
- LSM A with zero mode added: J. Phys. G **38**, 085101 (2011)

- The CEP (probably) moves to lower T and higher μ_q with the decreasing size in most scenarios.
- The details of the finite size effects depend on the used momentum space constraint, the boundary condition, and the treatment of the vacuum part.
- With low momentum cutoff, the size-dependent vacuum term leads to the reduction of the chirally broken phase, and the disappearance of the CEP when the size decreases, contrary to the case with infinite size vacuum.
- With discretization the CEP will be determined by the modes entering below the Fermi surface. The location of the $L \to \infty$ CEP strongly affects its trajectory with the decreasing size. The vacuum part has an especially strong effect in the case of PBC.

THANK YOU!