Antal Jakovác

Department of Computational Sciences

### Physical ideas in Artificial Intelligence

Wigner 121 Scientific Symposium Heritage and Future Budapest, 18-20 September 2023

wigner

MT Kurbucz P Pósfay A Telcs TS Biró



I believe that the present laws of physics are at least incomplete without a translation into terms of mental phenomena.

— Eugene Paul Wigner

('Physics and the Explanation of Life', Foundations of Physics 1970, I, 35-45.)

Nowadays certain "mental phenomena" are approachable through computers: machine learning / artificial intelligence

How science (physics) is related to (artificial) intelligence?

Recent impressive developments in AI



- Text generation: chatGPT, autoGPT, bing AI, bard AI, etc.
- Image generation: midjourney, thispersondeosnotexists, Dall-E, ...
- AI doomsday?
- Intelligent and useful tools, BUT heuristic, improvising, "lying"
- Confusing situation... is AI intelligent or not?









#### Human intelligence is not a monolithic entity:



#### Categorization in cognitive psychology: modes of thinking

(Daniel Kahneman: Thinking, Fast and Slow)

- System 1:
  - fast, automatic, intuitive, no conscious awareness, no control, error-prone
  - ideal for fast, accurate responses (e.g. car driving, playing table tennis ...)

#### System 2:

- slower, conscious, deliberate, controlled, can be checked and re-iterated
- ideal for contemplation, understanding





#### Human intelligence is not a monolithic entity, we use:

- all parts of the intelligence
- System1 and System2



- The performance of AI depends on the task we want to solve with it!
- We tend to think that all parts of IQ are present (cf. ELIZA, chatGPT  $\rightarrow$  doomers)





#### What was the question, if the answer is human intelligence?

we do not know ...

- *Turing's definition:* deceiving observers
- Classification task: main stream AI solutions: System1
- How to buid a machine using System2? → scientific understanding

# Turing's definition of intelligence

- Intelligent: indistinguishable from human in discussion (Turing 1950)
- **Task**: create a chatbot reacting to questions like humans do
- Famous programs:
  - ELIZA (Joseph Weizenbaum, 1960's, MIT)
  - Eugene Goostman (13-year-old Ukrainian boy; 33% passed Turing test in 2014)
- Not really intelligent, but mislead humans to think they talk with an intelligent actor.

## **Classification task**



- Intelligent: classifies like humans do we shall present the correct solution
- Mathematical background: probabilistic interpretation, Bayesian analysis, training, supervised learning
- Technology: plenty of ideas (DNN, CNN, ResNet, transformers, GAN, VAE, ...)
- Most successful AI uses this method (classifiers, generators)





## **Classification task**

#### Advantages:

- Very fast, effective
- Good interpolation properties
- Disadvantages (apart from technical ones)



**"panda"** 57.7% confidence

**"gibbon"** 99.3% confidence

- Slow training: needs a lot of data and uses a large amount of parameters
- No control over the mistakes (c.f. adversarial attacks)
- Input → output is a continuous function, can not train with very unbalanced data (e.g. can not have a class "no cat images")
- Specific  $\rightarrow$  catastrophic forgetting: classification outputs are interdependent
- All this corresponds to the System1 way of thinking!

## Alternative approach

#### Are there other approaches?

Task: determine that in an image there is a cat or not.

This task is hopeless to solve with DNN, because

 $f_i(c) = 0 \forall c \in \{cats\} \Rightarrow f_i^{-1}(0) \supset \{cats\}$ 

#### • using several selective features we can narrow the set of would-be cats $\bigcap_{i \in I} f_i^{-1}(0) \supset \{cats\}$ • the only condition for selective features to be constant on the given subset **(law)**



### Law-based AI

#### implementation for learning:

- instead of train with human annotated datasets, we shall seek facts that are constant over elements of contexts (laws)
- in practice *no exact laws*: represent the data with the best laws, and iterate the process
- there is a lot to be understood ...



- practical approach: law-based feature transformation
  - find laws in some functional space (e.g. linear functions)
  - collect laws for different elements of the context
  - data are represented by the best law  $\rightarrow$  classification





### Law-based AI

#### Advantages:

- control over mistakes: several laws
- can be used with unbalanced data
- no forgetting: laws separate class elements from not class elements, no interdependence between laws
- fast training: needs fewer data and less parameters than training
- Disadvantages (apart from technical ones)
  - complicated setup
  - application can be slow for a lot of laws (parallelization necessary)
- These are characteristic for System2 way of thinking



## Applications

#### Wigner group: analysis of time series with law-based AI

- human motion classification from marker data: 100% efficiency
- ECG analysis (normal/ectopic): 94%-os efficiency
- determination of physical laws for mechanical systems from data
- Bitcoin stochasticity analysis
- Cryptocurrency price trend prediction (12 hours  $\rightarrow$  trend after 2 hours)



	BTC	ETH	BNB	XRP
Ensemble	75.2	80.8	70.4	79.5
KNN	84.3	82.0	77.6	81.4
DT	65.9	73.6	60.8	67.5
$\mathbf{SVM}$	65.9	64.3	58.8	62.0







## Generalizing scientific understanding

We use the same strategy in understanding in science

Model building dictionary: (c.f. statistical physics, thermodynamics, renormalization group)

- possible observations, microstates facts
- "IR physics", macrostates
  context
- state variables: constant on microstates belonging to a given macrostate (S, E, N, V,...)
  selective (relevant) features: constant properties of a class of facts (laws)
- A physical model is built on state variables properties

a general model is built on relevant features / laws



How the scientific approach differs from AI?



 in science: scaling & dimensional analysis: if macrostates are much larger than microstates, then there remains just a few relevant interactions ("no hair") the world is simple using an appropriate language

this is not true in general: many fact remain important

the world remains complicated, even when using appropriate language

consequence: we shall use different methods for optimal model building













