CERN Quantum Technology Initiative



Sofia Vallecorsa CERN QTI Coordinator CERN

Content

The 2020-2023 CERN Quantum Technology Initiative

- Scope and scientific results
- Examples from Quantum Sensing, Communication and Computing

Leveraging CERN expertise for advancing Quantum Technologies The **CERN QTI Phase2**: a new research program



CERN















CMS

How does CERN engage in Quantum Technologies?



QT4HEP

HEP4QT

Develop technologies required by the CERN scientific programme

Integrate CERN to future quantum

infrastructure



Extend and share technologies available at CERN

Boost development and adoption of QT beyond CERN

The CERN QTI launched in 2020

Voir en <u>français</u>

CERN meets quantum technology

The CERN Quantum Technology Initiative will explore the potential of devices harnessing perplexing quantum phenomena such as entanglement to enrich and expand its challenging research programme

30 SEPTEMBER, 2020 | By Matthew Chalmers



The AEgIS 1T antimatter trap stack. CERN's AEgIS experiment is able to explore the multi-particle entangled nature of photons from positronium annihilation, and is one of several examples of existing CERN research with relevance to quantum technologies. (Image: CERN)

Main objectives

- Identify areas where CERN can contribute to QT development
- Evaluate impact of quantum technology on CERN programme
- Align with quantum initiatives in the CERN Member States
- Facilitate the collaboration across the HEP community and between HEP and the QT

QTI Roadmap: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5553774



An exploratory initiative

Quantum simulation and

HEP theory applications

Quantum Computing

Quantum Sensing Quantum Communication





Some results from QTI1 (I)

Quantum Theory and Simulation

- QC applications to LQCD scalability (small lattices, long range)
- Quantum sensing for atom interferometry of GW and DM
- Atomic clocks for new physics searches

Quantum Computing and Algorithms

- Qantum algorithms for event generation, detector simulation, data processing
- Characterisation of different classes of algorithms for robustness, noise behaviour
- Quantum computing infrastructure (simulators and devices) to support all CERN projects









Some results from QTI1 (II)

Quantum Sensing, Metrology and Materials

- Quantum dots with different nanomaterials and embeddings
- Procedures to transfer graphene layers nanostructures for gaseous detectors
- AMO-based DAQ and experiment control systems (AEgIS, ArtiQ) achieving dramatic speed-up in working procedures and automation

Quantum Communications and Networks

- A Quantum Key Distribution simulator with noise and attacks simulation
- Aapplications of QKD protocols to distributed data analysis with end-to-end privacy
- Tests on QKD hardware and fibre links



Figure 5







Secure Data Analytics





Quantum.Privacy

Quantumacy is a privacy-preserving data analytics platform combining the security of QKD protocols and links with state-of-theart homomorphic encryption capabilities to execute machinelearning and deep-learning workloads across a distributed federatedlearning infrastructure.

- QKD simulator including attacks
- Quantum Key Distribution (BB84 protocol)
- QKD link between CERN and the IDQ Data centre hosted by SIG in Geneva







The Quantumacy Platform





Secure Federated Learning demonstrator



Example of a block chain framework to record and validate transactions across a distributed data analysis pipeline using keys generated by the QKD infrastructure and homomorphic encryption.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7539229





Computing





Big Data @LHC?



Figure 2.3: **Big Data sizes.** Bubble plot of the orders of magnitude of data produced by important big data players. The balloon areas illustrate the amount of data and the text annotations highlight the key factors considered in the estimates. Average per-unit sizes are reported in parentheses, where italic indicates measures reconstructed based on likely assumptions because no references were found. arxiv:2202.07659



OMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2021-Oct-19 13:01:24.690432 GMT Run / Event / LS: 345881 / 17244 / 734

The LHC produces more than 1 billion particle collisions per second

13

New Physics at the LHC

So far only **negative results** in **direct** (model dependent) searches

ATLAS Heavy Particle Searches* - 95% CL Upper Exclusion Limits										ATLAS Preliminary	
Sta	atus: July 2022								$\int \mathcal{L} dt = (3.$	6 – 139) fb ⁻¹	$\sqrt{s} = 8, 13 \text{ TeV}$
	Model	<i>l</i> ,γ	Jets†	E_T^miss	∫£ dt[fb	-1]	Limit		-		Reference
Extra dimensions	ADD $G_{KK} + g/q$ ADD non-resonant $\gamma\gamma$ ADD QBH ADD BH multijet RS1 $G_{KK} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow WW/ZZ$ Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow WV \rightarrow \ell \gamma qq$ Bulk RS $g_{KK} \rightarrow tt$ 2UED / RPP	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \ e, \mu, \tau, \gamma \\ 2 \gamma \\ - \\ 2 \gamma \\ multi-channe \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \end{array}$	1 - 4j -2j $\ge 3j$ -1 2j/1J $\ge 1b, \ge 1J/2$ $\ge 2b, \ge 3j$	Yes - - - Yes Yes Yes	139 36.7 139 3.6 139 36.1 139 36.1 36.1	М ₀ M ₅ M _{th} G _{KK} mass G _{KK} mass g _{KK} mass KK mass		4.5 TeV 2.3 TeV 2.0 TeV 3.8 TeV 1.8 TeV	11.2 TeV 8.6 TeV 9.4 TeV 9.55 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} n=2\\ p=3 \ \text{HLZ NLO}\\ =6\\ m=6\\ =6, M_D=3 \ \text{TeV, rot BH}\\ \sqrt{M_{PI}}=0.1\\ \sqrt{M_{PI}}=1.0\\ \sqrt{M_{PI}}=1.0\\ \sqrt{m}=15^{9}\\ m=15^{9}\\ \text{Her}\left(1,1\right), \mathcal{B}\left(A^{(1,1)}\rightarrow tt\right)=1 \end{array}$	2102.10874 1707.04147 1910.08447 1512.02586 2102.13405 1808.02380 2004.14636 1804.10823 1803.09678
Gauge bosons	$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{SSM} \ & \mathcal{T}' \to \ell\ell \\ \mathrm{SSM} \ & \mathcal{T}' \to \tau \\ \mathrm{Leptophobic} \ & \mathcal{T}' \to bb \\ \mathrm{Leptophobic} \ & \mathcal{T}' \to tb \\ \mathrm{SSM} \ & \mathcal{W}' \to \ell \tau \\ \mathrm{SSM} \ & \mathcal{W}' \to \tau \tau \\ \mathrm{SSM} \ & \mathcal{W}' \to tb \\ \mathrm{WT} \ & \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \\ \mathrm{SSM} \ & \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \\ \mathrm{SSM} \ & \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \\ \mathrm{SSM} \ & \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \tau \\ - \\ 0 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ \tau \\ - \\ c \\ 3 \ e, \mu \\ b \\ 0, 2 \ e, \mu \\ b \\ 0, 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \mu \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} - \\ 2 \ b \\ \geq 1 \ b, \geq 2 \ J \\ - \\ 2 \ j / 1 \ J \\ 2 \ j / 1 \ J \\ 2 \ j (VBF) \\ 1-2 \ b, 1-0 \ j \\ 1-2 \ b, 1-0 \ j \\ 1 \ J \end{array}$	- Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes j Yes j Yes	139 36.1 36.1 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 80	Z' mass Z' mass Z' mass Z' mass W' mass W' mass W' mass W' mass W' mass Z' mass W _R mass	340 GeV	5.1 Te 2.42 TeV 2.1 TeV 4.1 TeV 6.0 5.0 Te 4.4 TeV 4.3 TeV 3.3 TeV 3.2 TeV 5.0 Te	V TeV V	T/m = 1.2% $g_V = 3$ $g_V c_H = 1, g_f = 0$ $g_V = 3$ $g_V = 3$ $g_V = 3$ $f_V = 3$ $f_V = 5$ TeV, $g_L = g_R$	1903.06248 1709.07242 1805.09299 2005.05138 1906.05509 ATLAS-CONF-2021-025 ATLAS-CONF-2021-025 ATLAS-CONF-2021-023 2004.14636 ATLAS-CONF-2022-005 2207.00230 1904.12879
CI	Clqqqq Clℓℓqq Cleebs Clµµbs Cltttt	- 2 e,μ 2 μ ≥1 e,μ	2 j - 1 b ≥1 b, ≥1 j	- - - Yes	37.0 139 139 139 36.1	Λ Λ Λ Λ		1.8 TeV 2.0 TeV 2.57 TeV	8 4 	21.8 TeV η_{LL}^{-} 35.8 TeV η_{LL}^{-} $q_{s} = 1$ $q_{s} = 1$ $q_{s} = 1$ $q_{s} = 1$	1703.09127 2006.12946 2105.13847 2105.13847 1811.02305
MQ	Axial-vector med. (Dirac DM) Pseudo-scalar med. (Dirac DM) Vector med. Z'-2HDM (Dirac DM) Pseudo-scalar med. 2HDM+a	0 e, μ, τ, γ 0 e, μ, τ, γ) 0 e, μ multi-channe	1 – 4 j 1 – 4 j 2 b	Yes Yes Yes	139 139 139 139	m _{med} m _{med} m _{med}	376 GeV 560 GeV	2.1 TeV 3.1 TeV	8 8 t	$\begin{array}{l} g_q \!=\! 0.25, g_\chi \!=\! 1, m(\chi) \!=\! 1 \mathrm{GeV} \\ g_q \!=\! 1, g_\chi \!=\! 1, m(\chi) \!=\! 1 \mathrm{GeV} \\ \sin\beta \!=\! 1, g_Z \!=\! 0.8, m(\chi) \!=\! 100 \mathrm{GeV} \\ \sin\beta \!=\! 1, g_\chi \!=\! 1, m(\chi) \!=\! 10 \mathrm{GeV} \end{array}$	2102.10874 2102.10874 2108.13391 ATLAS-CONF-2021-036
ГÖ	Scalar LQ 1 st gen Scalar LQ 2 nd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen Scalar LQ 3 rd gen Vector LQ 3 rd gen	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e \\ 2 \ \mu \\ 1 \ \tau \\ 0 \ e, \mu \\ \geq 2 \ e, \mu, \geq 1 \ \tau \\ 0 \ e, \mu, \geq 1 \ \tau \\ 1 \ \tau \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \geq 2 j \\ \geq 2 j \\ \geq 2 j \\ \geq 2 j, \geq 2 b \\ \geq 1 j, \geq 1 b \\ 0 - 2 j, 2 b \\ 2 b \end{array} $	Yes Yes Yes Yes - Yes Yes	139 139 139 139 139 139 139	LQ mass LQ mass LQ ⁴ mass LQ ⁴ mass LQ ⁴ mass LQ ⁴ mass LQ ⁴ mass LQ ⁴ mass	1.2 1.2 1 1.2	1.8 TeV 1.7 TeV TeV 4 TeV 4 TeV 4.43 TeV 6 TeV 1.77 TeV	4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	$\begin{array}{l} \beta=1\\ \beta=1\\ \beta(LQ_3^c\rightarrow b\tau)=1\\ \beta(LQ_3^c\rightarrow t\nu)=1\\ \beta(LQ_4^c\rightarrow t\nu)=1\\ \beta(LQ_4^c\rightarrow b\nu)=1\\ \beta(LQ_4^c\rightarrow b\nu)=0.5, \mbox{ YM coupl.} \end{array}$	2006.05872 2006.05872 2108.07665 2004.14060 2101.11582 2101.12527 2108.07665
Vector-like fermions	$ \begin{array}{l} VLQ \ TT \rightarrow Zt + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Wt/Zb + X \\ VLQ \ T_{5/3} \ T_{5/3} \ T_{5/3} \ T_{5/3} \rightarrow Wt + X \\ VLQ \ T \rightarrow Ht/Zt \\ VLQ \ Y \rightarrow Wb \\ VLQ \ B \rightarrow Hb \\ VLL \ \tau' \rightarrow Z\tau/H\tau \end{array} $	$2e/2\mu/\geq 3e,\mu$ multi-channe $2(SS)/\geq 3e,\mu$ $1e,\mu$ $1e,\mu$ $0e,\mu$ multi-channe	$\begin{array}{l} \substack{i \geq 1 \ b, \geq 1 \ j} \\ \substack{i \geq 1 \ b, \geq 1 \ j} \\ \substack{i \geq 1 \ b, \geq 23 \ j} \\ \substack{\geq 1 \ b, \geq 1 \ j} \\ \substack{\geq 1 \ b, \geq 1 \ j} \\ \substack{\geq 2b, \geq 1j, \geq 1 \ j} \end{array}$	- Yes Yes IJ - Yes	139 36.1 36.1 139 36.1 139 139	T mass B mass T _{5/3} mass T mass Y mass B mass τ' mass	1. 898 GeV	1.4 TeV 34 TeV 1.64 TeV 1.8 TeV 1.85 TeV 2.0 TeV		SU(2) doublet SU(2) doublet $(T_{5/3} \rightarrow Wt) = 1, c(T_{5/3}Wt) = 1$ SU(2) singlet, $\kappa_T = 0.5$ $B(Y \rightarrow Wb) = 1, c_R(Wb) = 1$ SU(2) doublet, $\kappa_B = 0.3$ SU(2) doublet, $\kappa_B = 0.3$ SU(2) doublet	ATLAS-CONF-2021-024 1808.02343 1807.11883 ATLAS-CONF-2021-040 1812.07343 ATLAS-CONF-2021-018 ATLAS-CONF-2022-044
Excited fermions	Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow qg$ Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow bg$ Excited lepton ℓ^* Excited lepton ν^*	- 1 γ - 3 e, μ 3 e, μ, τ	2 j 1 j 1 b, 1 j -		139 36.7 139 20.3 20.3	q* mass q* mass b* mass (* mass y* mass		6 5.3 Te 3.2 TeV 3.0 TeV 1.6 TeV	.7 TeV 00 3V 00	only u^* and d^* , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ only u^* and d^* , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ $\Lambda = 3.0 \text{ TeV}$ $\Lambda = 1.6 \text{ TeV}$	1910.08447 1709.10440 1910.0447 1411.2921 1411.2921
Other	Type III Seesaw LRSM Majorana v Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ 22 Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell \ell$ Multi-charged particles Magnetic monopoles	2,3,4 e, μ 2μ 2,3,4 e, μ (SS 2,3,4 e, μ (SS 3 e, μ, τ - - - - - - - - - - - - -	$\frac{\geq 2j}{2j}$ s) various $\frac{-}{-}$ $\frac{\sqrt{5} = 13}{2}$	Yes Yes 3 TeV	139 36.1 139 139 20.3 139 34.4	N ⁰ mass N _R mass H ^{±±} mass H ^{±±} mass H ^{±±} mass multi-charged pa multi-charged pa	910 GeV 350 GeV 1.08 T 400 GeV	3.2 TeV eV 1.59 TeV 2.37 TeV		$\begin{array}{l} m(W_R) = 4.1 \ \text{TeV}, g_L = g_R \\ \mbox{DY production} \\ \mbox{DY production}, g(H^{\pm\pm}_{\pm} \rightarrow \ell \tau) = 1 \\ \mbox{DY production}, g = 5e \\ \mbox{DY production}, g = 1g_D, \ \mbox{spin 1/2} \end{array}$	2202.02039 1809.11105 2101.11961 ATLAS-CONF-2022-010 1411.2921 ATLAS-CONF-2022-034 1905.10130
	$\gamma s = 8$ lev par	tial data	full d	ata		10-	1	1	10	Mass scale [TeV]	

How to insure we do not miss potential discoveries?

We can design model agnostic searches!

Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is show



A typical hybrid QML workflow

Paper Code





Wózniak, Belis, Grossi, Vallecorsa et al. - <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.10780</u>





Results

Comparison to classical algorithms: best-performing model with similar complexity trained and tested on the same data

• RBF-based SVM

AUC shows **marginal advantage** for quantum algorithm

Evaluate performance at **typical working**, where $\epsilon_s = 0.6, 0.8$

Quantum kernel machine works best for more complex physics





Characterizing the advantage

Given signal and background efficiencies, ϵ_s and ϵ_b respectively:

$$\Delta_{\rm QC}(\varepsilon_s) = \frac{\varepsilon_{\rm b}^{-1}(\varepsilon_s;Q)}{\varepsilon_b^{-1}(\varepsilon_s;C)}$$

Performance advantage is consistent

- Increase in the expressibility and entanglement up to L=4 improve performance, reduce it above
- Full entanglement is not better

Classical is better than 4 qubit QSVM Increasing expressibility improves performance



NE₀: 1 layer - No entanglement NE₁: no entanglement



Michael Schenk et al., **Hybrid actor-critic algorithm for quantum reinforcement learning at CERN beam lines**, e-Print: 2209.11044 [quant-ph]

Beam optimisation in linear accelerators

- Action: (discrete) deflection angle
- State: (continuous) BPM position
- Reward: integrated beam intensity on target
- **Optimality**: fraction of states in which the agent takes the right decision

TECHNOLOGY





Sample complexity and representational power

• Quantum RL massively outperforms classical Q-learning (8±2 vs. 320±40 steps with e. r.) QRL use cases confirms advantage in terms of **model size** and **training steps**



OUANTUM

TECHNOLOGY



Michael Schenk, Elías F. Combarro, Michele Grossi, Verena Kain, Kevin Shing Bruce Li, Mircea-Marian Popa, Sofia Vallecorsa, **Hybrid actor-critic algorithm for quantum reinforcement learning at CERN beam lines.** arXiv:2209.11044



QC research directions in HEP

- Quantum computing could be **revolutionary in HEP**
- To go beyond the hype we need **concrete challenges**
 - What are the most promising applications?
 - How to **define performance metrics** and validate results?
- Experimental data has high dimensionality
 - Can we train **Quantum Machine Learning** algorithms effectively?
 - Can we reduce the impact of data reduction techniques?
- Experimental data is shaped by physics laws
 - Can we leverage them to build better algorithms?

QC4HEP working group



Quantum Computing for High-Energy Physics State of the Art and Challenges Summary of the QC4HEP Working Group

Alberto Di Meglio,^{1, *} Karl Jansen,^{2,3,†} Ivano Tavernelli,^{4,‡} Constantia Alexandrou,^{5,3} Srinivasan Arunachalam, Christian W. Bauer,⁷ Kerstin Borras,^{8,9} Stefano Carrazza,^{10,1} Arianna Crippa,^{2,11} Vincent Croft,¹² Roland de Putter.⁶ Andrea Delgado.¹³ Vedran Duniko.¹² Daniel J. Egger.⁴ Elias Fernández-Combarro.¹⁴ Elina Fuchs,^{1,15,16} Lena Funcke,¹⁷ Daniel González-Cuadra,^{18,19} Michele Grossi,¹ Jad C. Halimeh,^{20,21} Zoë Holmes,22 Stefan Kühn,2 Denis Lacroix,23 Randy Lewis,24 Donatella Lucchesi,25,26,1 Miriam Lucio Martinez.^{27, 28} Federico Meloni.⁸ Antonio Mezzacapo,⁶ Simone Montangero.^{25, 26} Lento Nagano.²⁵ Voica Radescu,³⁰ Enrique Rico Ortega,^{31, 32, 33, 34} Alessandro Roggero,^{35, 36} Julian Schuhmacher,⁴ Joao Seixas,^{37, 38, 39} Pietro Silvi,^{25,26} Panagiotis Spentzouris,⁴⁰ Francesco Tacchino,⁴ Kristan Temme,⁶ Koji Terashi,²⁹ Jordi Tura,^{12,41} Cenk Tüysüz,^{2,11} Sofia Vallecorsa,¹ Uwe-Jens Wiese,⁴² Shinjae Yoo,⁴³ and Jinglei Zhang^{44,45} ¹European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland ²CQTA, Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Platanenallee 6, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany ³Computation-based Science and Technology Research Center The Cyprus Institute, 20, Constantinou Kavafi str., 2121 Nicosia, Cypru ⁴IBM Quantum, IBM Research - Zurich, 8803 Rüschlikon, Switzerland ⁵Department of Physics, University of Cyprus, PO Box 20537, 1678 Nicosia, Cyprus ⁶IBM Quantum, IBM Research - 1101 Kitchawan Rd, Yorktown Heights, NY, USA 0 ⁷ Physics Division LBNL - M/S 50A5104 1 Cyclotron Rd Berkeley, CA, USA ⁸Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestrasse 85, 22607 Hamburg, German ⁹RWTH Aachen University, Templergraben 55, 52062 Aachen, Germany [quant-ph ¹⁰TIF Lab, Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Milano and INFN Sezione di Milano, Milan, Italy ¹¹Institut f
ür Physik, Humboldt-Universit
ät zu Berlin, Newtonstr. 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany ²(aQa^L) Applied Quantum Algorithms Leiden, The Netherlands ¹³Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831, USA ¹⁴Department of Computer Science, Facultad de Ciencias, University of Oviedo, 33007, Asturias, Spain ¹⁵Institute of Theoretical Phyiscs, Leibniz University Hannover, 30167 Hannover, Germany ¹⁶ Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, 38116 Braunschweig, Germany ¹⁷ Transdisciplinary Research Area "Building Blocks of Matter and Fundamental Interactions" (TRA Matter) and Helmholtz Institute for Radiation and Nuclear Physics (HISKP), University of Bonn, Nußallee 14-16, 53115 Bonn, Germany ¹⁸Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Innsbruck, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria ¹⁹Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria ¹⁰Department of Physics and Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany ²¹Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology, Germany ²² Institute of Physics, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland ²³ Paris-Saclay University, CNRS/IN2P3, IJCLab, 91405 Orsay, France ²⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M3J 1P3 ²⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, Università di Padova, V. Marzolo 8, I-35131 Padova, Italy ²⁶INFN - Sezione di Padova, Via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy ²⁷Nikhef – National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Science Park 105, 1098 XG Amsterdam, The Netherlands ²⁸Department of Gravitational Waves and Fundamental Physics, Maastricht University, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands ²⁹International Center for Elementary Particle Physics (ICEPP) The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan ³⁰ IBM Quantum, IBM Deutschland Research & Development GmbH - Schoenaicher Str. 220, 71032 Boeblingen, Germany ³¹Department of Physical Chemistry, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, Box 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain ²Donostia International Physics Center, 20018 Donostia-San Sebastián, Spain ³³EHU Quantum Center, University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU, P.O. Box 644, 48080 Bilbao, Spain ¹⁴IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, Plaza Euskadi 5, 48009 Bilbao, Spain ³⁵Department of Physics, University of Trento, via Sommarive 14, I-38123, Povo, Trento, Italy ³⁶ INFN-TIFPA Trento Institute of Fundamental Physics and Applications, via Sommarive 14, I-38123, Trento, Italy ³⁷ Instituto Superior Técnico, Dep. Física, Lisboa, Portugal ⁸Center of Physics and Engineering of Advanced Materials (CeFEMA), Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisboa, Portugal, ⁹Laboratory of Physics for Materials and Emergent Technologies (LaPMET), Portugal 40 Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Kirk and, Pine St, Batavia, IL 60510, USA ⁴¹Instituut-Lorentz, Universiteit Leiden, P.O. Box 9506, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands



Methods and applications











How does CERN engage in Quantum Technologies?



QT4HEP

Develop technologies required by the CERN scientific programme

Integrate CERN to future quantum

infrastructure



Extend and share technologies available at CERN

Boost development and adoption of QT beyond CERN

HEP4QT

Unique CERN expertise

Artificial Intelligence on FPGAs (based on CERN expertise on DAQ systems)

- Error correction is one of the greatest outstanding challenges in quantum computing. Any error correction has
 to happen sufficiently fast such that it is within the lifetime of the qubit. CERN's expertise in fast inference and
 machine learning could be a valuable contribution to this field.
- Improved error correction on quantum computer

Superconducting Coatings for RF Cavities

Unique expertise at CERN with potential impact for both quantum computing and quantum networking

- Quantum Computing
 Explore SRF Cavities for bosonic quantum computers
- Quantum Networks
 use of SRF Cavities for quantum transducers?





08/05/2023

White Rabbit technology for time synchronisation

Initially meant for **large physics facilities**: CERN, GSI. . . Based on **well-established standards**

- Ethernet (IEEE 802.3), Bridged Local Area Network (IEEE 802.1Q), Precision Time Protocol (IEEE 1588)
 Extends standards to meet new requirements and provides
- Sub-ns synchronisation
- Deterministic data transfer

Initial specs: links ≤10 km & ≤2000 nodes Open Source and commercially available



13th WR Workshop (21-22 March 2024 at CERN) https://ohwr.org/project/white-rabbit/wikis/mar2024meeting. and



ABORATIO

CERN QTI Phase 2

Launched January 2024





QML for quantum data: drawing phase diagrams

- Use Tensor Networks to study phase diagram of a Ising model
 - State-of-the-art caracterization incl. Floating Phase
 - Provide input to QML algorithm
- (Un-)Supervised QML to classify the ground state
- Bottleneck from access to classical training labels
 - Train in integrable subregions
 - Use an Anomaly Detection approach







Cea, at al. , arxiv (2024) Monaco, at al. Physical Review

B 107.8 (2023): L081105

Supervised Quantum Convolutional NN





Unsupervised Quantum Auto-Encoder



The DRD5 (RDq) collaboration proposal: R&D on quantum sensors

Quantum sensor R&D for particle physics

Aligned with the 2021 ECFA Detector Research and Development Roadmap

To be **submitted to CERN** for review and approval

Soon available on quantum.cern

WP-1 : Atomic, nuclear and molecular systems and nanoparticles in traps & beams

- Exotic systems in traps and beams
- Atom Interferometry
- Networks, Signal and Clock distribution

WP-2 : Quantum materials (0-, 1- and 2-D materials)

- Application-specific
- Extended functionalities
- Simulations

....

WP-3: Cryogenic materials, devices and systems WP-4: Scaling up "quantum" WP-5 : Quantum techniques for sensing



Summary and Outlook

A new exciting phase of Quantum Technology research opens at CERN

- Focus continues on the applicability of Quantum Technologies to High Energy Physics
- Build on CERN existing expertise to contribute to the development of Quantum Technologies
- Extending the network of collaborations is essential

Thanks!





Quantum optics control systems for HEP experiments

Goal: port & develop emerging quantum optics experiments standards for control of HEP experiments



Scalable, modular, high degree of automation

<u>HW</u>: based on emerging SINARA standard

<u>SW</u>: based on ARTIQ (Advanced Real Time Infrastructure for Quantum physics) standard <u>control:</u> Python based

Marco Volponi Low Energy Antimatter conference, 2023

TALOS (Total Automation of LabVIEW[™] Operations for Science): autonomous unsupervised control system for complex experiments

Automated execution of many devices to 1 ns (DAC's, sequencers, pulsers, phased DAC's, digital frequency generators, ...)

Already generating interest in atomic physics and trapped ion communities



Geometric Quantum Machine Learning

- Given a data point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and its label $y \in \mathcal{Y}$
- Estimate the prediction y_{θ} from observable 0: $y_{\theta}(x) = \langle \psi(x) | \mathcal{U}^{\dagger}(\theta) O \mathcal{U}(\theta) | \psi(x) \rangle$
- Given a symmetry group \mathfrak{G} on the data space \mathcal{X}
- \mathfrak{G} Invariance : For all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $g \in \mathfrak{G}$

$$y_{\theta}(g[x]) = y_{\theta}(x)$$

Final prediction y_θ is invariant if:

Equivariant data embedding:

For feature map $\psi \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H}$

 $|\psi(g[x])\rangle = V_s[g]|\psi(x)0\rangle$

 $V_{s}[g]$ = **Representation** of g on \mathcal{H} induced by ψ

Equivariant ansatz:

For operators generated by a fixed generator G as $R_G(\theta) = \exp(-i\theta G)$:

 $[R_G(\theta), V_S[g]] = 0 \iff [G, V_S[g]] = 0$

Invariant Measurement: $V_s^{\dagger}[g]OV_s[g] = O$



Equivariant Quantum CNN

- Construct equivariant quantum CNN under rotational & reflectional symmetry (p4m)
- Improved generalization power







Tüysüz, Cenk, et al. "Symmetry breaking in geometric quantum machine learning in the presence of noise." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.10293* (2024).

Noise induced symmetry breaking

- **Noise** effects on **EQNN** wrt discrete symmetry groups e.g. $Z_2: R(\sigma) \cdot (x_i) = -x_i$
- Bit Flip, Depolarizing (Pauli) and **Amplitude Damping channels**





(d) BEL



DP should not affect symmetry

EQNN performance drops with AD



Adaptive threshold classification



EQNN-Z native: Z₀Z₁ commutes with the AD channel generator, but native gate set is limited on hardware!



06.03.24

$LM = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{(\tau(\hat{y}_i) - \tau(\hat{y}_j))^2}{\tau(\hat{y}_i) + \tau(\hat{y}_j)}$

Symmetry breaking on hardware

Label Misassignment uses adaptive thresholds







Tests on *ibm cairo* Confirms AD channel is dominant Symmetry breaking is linear in the number of layers

Tests on *ibm_cusco* using **hardware efficient ansatz** and **pulse efficient gate** implementation create $R_{ZX}(\theta)$ gates by controlling pulses in a continuous way

LM reaches 50% (random) at around 50 qubits





Michael Schenk et al., **Hybrid actor-critic algorithm for quantum reinforcement learning at CERN beam lines.** arXiv:2209.11044

Quantum Reinforcement Learning

Agent interacts with environment

- Follow policy
- Find policy that **maximizes**



- **DQN:** Deep Q-learning (NN-based)
- FERL: Free energy-based RL (clamped Quantum Boltzmann Machine)

Implement the quantum NN on a set of qubits

Quantum computer calculates the **reward as the energy** of the qubit system In this framework the **agent is classical**





Guided Quantum Compression



Two hybrid quantum-classical strategies:

GQC: Joint training

2Steps: The data compression step is independently trained



Latent Space Representation





06.03.24

Results





b-tag features are high level features containing information about the quark content

compression method has significant impact on the classifier performance.

CHALLENGE: DATA COMPRESSION



06.03.24

CERN AWAKE facility



Michael Schenk et al., Hybrid actor-critic algorithm for quantum reinforcement



 $\nabla_{\theta^{\mu}}\mu = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}[\nabla_{\theta^{\mu}}Q(s,\mu(s|\theta^{\mu})|\theta^{Q})] = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}[\nabla_{a}Q(s,a|\theta^{Q})\cdot\nabla_{\theta^{\mu}}\mu(s|\theta^{\mu})]$ **Policy Gradient:**

Actor-Critic Q-learning training D-Wave Advantage



Figure 11: Single RL agent training evolution on D-Wave Advantage Systems using the simulated AWAKE environment with a reward objective of -2 mm.







QUANTUM

INITIATIVE

TECHNOLOGY

Example 1: Simulation of Real-Time Phenomena

Challenge

Theoretical predictions of scattering processes have limitations which make them applicable in regimes accessible via perturbation theory mainly capturing equilibrium properties (e.g. Monte Carlo methods). The "sign problem" and the complexity of numerical integration make real-time simulations challenging.



Goals

Use the Hamiltonian formalism by discretising the space dimensions in square/cubic lattices and keeping time as a continuous variable.

Ex: Kogut-Susskind formulation of (2+1)D QED

 $H_{tot} = H_E + H_B + H_m + H_{kin}$

Quantum methods

The Hamiltonian can be encoded on a quantum computer using various ansatz, the ground-state energy can be found using methods like VQE, SSVQE, or VQD

Analog quantum devices can also be used to approximate the time evolution of the target H.

Tensor Networks are interesting at equilibrium and out-ofequilibrium (for low entanglement production)



Example 2: Collective Neutrino Oscillations

Challenge

Neutrinos play a central role in extreme astrophysical events (supernovae or neutron star). Neutrino clouds are a strongly coupled many-body system, direct solution of the flavor evolution equations can be exponentially hard with classical simulations.



(Image: IIT Guwahati)

Goals

Study the flavor evolution of a homogeneous gas of neutrinos both at fixed density and at different local conditions (e.g. within the emitting neutron stars and as they travel in space) using a Hamiltonian formulation

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{b}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i} + \lambda_{e} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}^{z} + \frac{\mu}{2N} \sum_{i$$

Quantum methods

The Hamiltonian can be encoded on a quantum computer using 1 qubit per neutrino, which so far restricts the simulation to small N numbers.

Evolution of the method involves scaling to higher N \rightarrow more qubits, and more sophisticated initial conditions than simple wave-functions of non-correlated neutrinos.



Example 3: Particle Jets and Trajectory reconstruction

Challenge

Reconstruction of high-level physics features (ex. trajectories) from detector output is a complex task due to the high granularity geometry of detectors. At next generation hadronic collider detectors, the dimensionality of the problem will increase by orders of magnitude.



Goals

Reduce time to solution. Pattern recognition tasks are formulated as multi-step processes. The goal is achieved by accelerating individual steps or designing new end-to-end approaches beyond today's estimation algorithms.

Quantum methods

The problem can be formulated as **QML**, as a **energy minimisation problem** (using both quantum annealers and digial computers) or as **a search problem (using quantum associative memories)** (ex. Quantum Associative Memory (annealer based **or** digital)

The ANNNI Phase Diagram

$$H_{ANNNI} = -J_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x - J_2 \sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+2}^x - B \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i^z,$$

Use MPS representation of ANNNI model

- DMRG to analyse phase diagram of finite size systems (up to 480 sites)
- Detailed properties study

QUANTUM

TECHNOLOGY



 $\kappa = -J_2/J_1$ and $h = B/J_1$

Study correlation range by fixing the transverse field and varying the frustration parameter:



Generate wave function (up to 20 spins) as input to the QML analysis

