# Comparison of gradient and derivative-free learning methods for quantum circuit Born machine

Vlastimil Hudeček<sup>1</sup> Aurél Gábris<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Czech Technical University in Prague: Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering

<sup>2</sup>Institute for Solid State Physics and Optics, HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics

June 17, 2024



Gabris and Hudecek (FNSPE at CTU and Wigner)

• Quantum generative machine learning (QML) and parameterized quantum circuits (PQC)



- Quantum generative machine learning (QML) and parameterized quantum circuits (PQC)
  - · Generating samples from probability distributions



- Quantum generative machine learning (QML) and parameterized quantum circuits (PQC)
  - Generating samples from probability distributions
  - PQC as a circuit for QML



#### Objectives of the project

## Quantum generative machine learning and objectives of the work

- Quantum generative machine learning (QML) and parameterized quantum circuits (PQC)
  - Generating samples from probability distributions
  - PQC as a circuit for QML
  - QCBM as a for of PQC with classical analogy



4 2 5 4 2

#### Objectives of the project

## Quantum generative machine learning and objectives of the work

- Quantum generative machine learning (QML) and parameterized quantum circuits (PQC)
  - Generating samples from probability distributions
  - PQC as a circuit for QML
  - QCBM as a for of PQC with classical analogy
- Learning of PQC through optimization of parameters



4 2 5 4 2

- Quantum generative machine learning (QML) and parameterized quantum circuits (PQC)
  - Generating samples from probability distributions
  - PQC as a circuit for QML
  - QCBM as a for of PQC with classical analogy
- Learning of PQC through optimization of parameters
- Optimization methods: derivative and gradient-free



1 2 1 1 2 2

#### Objectives of the project

## Quantum generative machine learning and objectives of the work

- Quantum generative machine learning (QML) and parameterized quantum circuits (PQC)
  - Generating samples from probability distributions
  - PQC as a circuit for QML
  - QCBM as a for of PQC with classical analogy
- Learning of PQC through optimization of parameters
- Optimization methods: derivative and gradient-free
- Comparison of methods with COBYLA, CMA-ES and ADAM optimizers



1 2 1 1 2 2

- Quantum generative machine learning (QML) and parameterized quantum circuits (PQC)
  - Generating samples from probability distributions
  - PQC as a circuit for QML
  - QCBM as a for of PQC with classical analogy
- Learning of PQC through optimization of parameters
- Optimization methods: derivative and gradient-free
- Comparison of methods with COBYLA, CMA-ES and ADAM optimizers
- Numerical simulations and verification on quantum computers



• *New finding:* Connection between the method of optimization of QCBM parameters and the circuit depth and the number of circuit parameters.



- *New finding:* Connection between the method of optimization of QCBM parameters and the circuit depth and the number of circuit parameters.
  - For a limited depth circuit the gradient-free optimization is more effective



- *New finding:* Connection between the method of optimization of QCBM parameters and the circuit depth and the number of circuit parameters.
  - For a limited depth circuit the gradient-free optimization is more effective
  - For complex cases with deeper circuits the derivative optimization has an advantage



4 1 1 1 4

- *New finding:* Connection between the method of optimization of QCBM parameters and the circuit depth and the number of circuit parameters.
  - For a limited depth circuit the gradient-free optimization is more effective
  - · For complex cases with deeper circuits the derivative optimization has an advantage
- Effective implementation of the QCBM for quantum computer with parallel execution of QCBM circuits.



4 E 6 4

### Restricted Boltzmann Machine

 Two layer classical neural network for generative deep learning CITE, same as QCBM serves as a generator for joint probability distribution



Figure 1: Restricted Boltzman Machine as a two layer neural network with a visible (white) and a hidden (black) layer nodes CITE



### Restricted Boltzmann Machine

- Two layer classical neural network for generative deep learning CITE, same as QCBM serves as a generator for joint probability distribution
- Joint probability determined by the *Boltzmann distribution function*

$$P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \frac{\exp\{-E(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h})\}}{Z}$$



Figure 1: Restricted Boltzman Machine as a two layer neural network with a visible (white) and a hidden (black) layer nodes CITE



### Quantum Circuit Born Machine

 Using the Born rule as a mean of generating (probability) distribution instead of relying of Boltzman distribution

$$P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}} \right\rangle,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h}}$  denotes measurement operator which fulfills  $\sum_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h}} = \mathbb{I}$ 



#### Figure 2: Schematic of a QCBM



Gabris and Hudecek (FNSPE at CTU and Wigner)

### Quantum Circuit Born Machine

 Using the Born rule as a mean of generating (probability) distribution instead of relying of Boltzman distribution

$$P(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}) = \left\langle \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}}^{\dagger} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{h}} \right\rangle,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h}}$  denotes measurement operator which fulfills  $\sum_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{v},\mathbf{h}} = \mathbb{I}$ 

• For the case of PQC with parameter vector  $\theta$  this can be rewritten as  $P = \langle \phi | \psi_{\theta} \rangle$ 



#### Figure 2: Schematic of a QCBM



(3)

5/14

### Test distribution

Mixing of normal distributions •

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{4} w_i \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-p_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right\}$$



### Test distribution

• Mixing of normal distributions

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{4} w_i \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-p_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}\right\}$$

• Table of parameters of mixed normal distributions

i	$p_i$	$\sigma_i$	$w_i$
1	-3	0.3	0.4
2	-1	0.6	0.1
3	+1	0.3	0.3
4	+3	0.6	0.2



### Cost function for optimization algorithms

### Total Variation (TV)

• Cost function formula

$$f_{\mathsf{TV}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f_{\mathsf{TV}} \left( P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x), P_{\mathsf{data}}(x) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{x} \left( P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x) - P_{\mathsf{data}}(x) \right)$$

7/14

Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MMD)

### Cost function for optimization algorithms

### Total Variation (TV)

Cost function formula

$$f_{\mathsf{TV}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = f_{\mathsf{TV}} \left( P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x), P_{\mathsf{data}}(x) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{x} \left( P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x) - P_{\mathsf{data}}(x) \right)$$

### Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MMD)

- Cost function formula
  - $$\begin{split} f_{\mathsf{MMD}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &\cong \mathbb{E}\left[K(P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}},P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right] \\ &- 2\mathbb{E}\left[K(P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}},P_{\mathsf{data}})\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[K(P_{\mathsf{data}},P_{\mathsf{data}})\right] \end{split}$$



(4) (2) (4) (3)

## Cost function for optimization algorithms

### Total Variation (TV)

Cost function formula

$$\begin{split} f_{\mathsf{TV}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= f_{\mathsf{TV}}\left(P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x), P_{\mathsf{data}}(x)\right) \\ &= \sum_{x} \left(P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x) - P_{\mathsf{data}}(x)\right) \end{split}$$

### Maximum Mean Discrepancy (MMD)

Cost function formula

$$\begin{split} f_{\mathsf{MMD}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &\cong \mathbb{E}\left[K(P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}}, P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}})\right] \\ &- 2\mathbb{E}\left[K(P_{\mathsf{model},\boldsymbol{\theta}}, P_{\mathsf{data}})\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[K(P_{\mathsf{data}}, P_{\mathsf{data}})\right] \end{split}$$

Kernel function

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_i}|x - y|^2\right\}$$

#### Gradient-free optimization

#### **Derivative optimization**

• COBYLA optimizer



8/14

Gabris and Hudecek (FNSPE at CTU and Wigner)

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Gradient-free optimization

#### • COBYLA optimizer

Low number of cost function evaluations

#### **Derivative optimization**



(4) (2) (4) (2)

#### Gradient-free optimization

- COBYLA optimizer
  - Low number of cost function evaluations
  - Low noise resilience, higher number of shots

#### **Derivative optimization**



Gabris and Hudecek (FNSPE at CTU and Wigner)

• • • • • • • •

8/14

#### Gradient-free optimization

- COBYLA optimizer
  - Low number of cost function evaluations
  - Low noise resilience, higher number of shots
- CMA-ES optimizer

#### **Derivative optimization**



(4) (2) (4) (2)

#### Gradient-free optimization

- COBYLA optimizer
  - Low number of cost function evaluations
  - Low noise resilience, higher number of shots
- CMA-ES optimizer
  - Allows for batch calculations

#### **Derivative optimization**



#### Gradient-free optimization

- COBYLA optimizer
  - Low number of cost function evaluations
  - Low noise resilience, higher number of shots
- CMA-ES optimizer
  - Allows for batch calculations
  - Able to converge fast

#### **Derivative optimization**



#### Gradient-free optimization

- COBYLA optimizer
  - Low number of cost function evaluations
  - Low noise resilience, higher number of shots
- CMA-ES optimizer
  - Allows for batch calculations
  - Able to converge fast

#### Derivative optimization

ADAM optimizer



#### Gradient-free optimization

- COBYLA optimizer
  - Low number of cost function evaluations
  - Low noise resilience, higher number of shots
- CMA-ES optimizer
  - Allows for batch calculations
  - Able to converge fast

#### Derivative optimization

- ADAM optimizer
  - Usage of first derivative



#### Gradient-free optimization

- COBYLA optimizer
  - Low number of cost function evaluations
  - Low noise resilience, higher number of shots
- CMA-ES optimizer
  - Allows for batch calculations
  - Able to converge fast

#### Derivative optimization

- ADAM optimizer
  - Usage of first derivative
  - Batch calculation of gradient



#### Gradient-free optimization

- COBYLA optimizer
  - Low number of cost function evaluations
  - Low noise resilience, higher number of shots
- CMA-ES optimizer
  - Allows for batch calculations
  - Able to converge fast

#### **Derivative optimization**

- ADAM optimizer
  - Usage of first derivative
  - Batch calculation of gradient
  - Analytic gradient for MMD cost



#### Gradient-free optimization

- COBYLA optimizer
  - Low number of cost function evaluations
  - Low noise resilience, higher number of shots
- CMA-ES optimizer
  - Allows for batch calculations
  - Able to converge fast

#### Derivative optimization

- ADAM optimizer
  - Usage of first derivative
  - Batch calculation of gradient
  - Analytic gradient for MMD cost
  - Momentum can increase noise resilience



( ) < ) < )</p>

#### Gradient-free optimization

- COBYLA optimizer
  - Low number of cost function evaluations
  - Low noise resilience, higher number of shots
- CMA-ES optimizer
  - Allows for batch calculations
  - Able to converge fast

#### Derivative optimization

- ADAM optimizer
  - Usage of first derivative
  - Batch calculation of gradient
  - Analytic gradient for MMD cost
  - Momentum can increase noise resilience

• Finding the relation between circuit depth and the learning result for each of the optimization algorithms.



( ) < ) < )</p>

### Optimal depth for the COBYLA optimized QCBM





Figure 4: The TV cost reached for different depths of the QCBM circuit with the COBYLA optimizer

Figure 5: Probability distribution with lowest cost generated with the COBYLA optimizer

(4) (3) (4) (4) (4)

### Optimal depth for the CMA-ES optimized QCBM



0.07 Legend: data model trained with CMA 0.06 0.05 Probability 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 -3 Random variable x

Figure 6: TheTV cost reached for different depths of the QCBM circuit with CMA-ES optimizer

Figure 7: Probability distribution with lowest cost generated with the CMA-ES optimizer

### Optimal depth for the ADAM optimized QCBM





Figure 8: TheMMD cost reached for different depths of the QCBM circuit with ADAM optimizer

Figure 9: Probability distribution with lowest cost generated with the ADAM optimizer

(4) (2) (4) (2)

### Plot TV and MMD cost during learning





Figure 10: Plot of TV and MMD cost function during learning on simulator

Figure 11: Plot of TV and MMD cost function during learning on ibmq\_mumbai

(日本)

 Numerical results show the connection between the depth of PQC and the optimal choice of optimizer for learning.

**Open questions:** 



< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

- Numerical results show the connection between the depth of PQC and the optimal choice of optimizer for learning.
- Observed similarity to learning of classical RBM in the connection depth and optimal learning method.

#### **Open questions:**



Gabris and Hudecek (FNSPE at CTU and Wigner)

(4) (E) (A) (E)

13/14

- Numerical results show the connection between the depth of PQC and the optimal choice of optimizer for learning.
- Observed similarity to learning of classical RBM in the connection depth and optimal learning method.
- Cost functions, e.g. MMD, used in classical machine learning can be applied to quantum machine learning for performance advantage.

#### **Open questions:**



- Numerical results show the connection between the depth of PQC and the optimal choice of optimizer for learning.
- Observed similarity to learning of classical RBM in the connection depth and optimal learning method.
- Cost functions, e.g. MMD, used in classical machine learning can be applied to quantum machine learning for performance advantage.
- Tendency of QCBM to produce periodic distributions.

#### **Open questions:**



13/14

- Numerical results show the connection between the depth of PQC and the optimal choice of optimizer for learning.
- Observed similarity to learning of classical RBM in the connection depth and optimal learning method.
- Cost functions, e.g. MMD, used in classical machine learning can be applied to quantum machine learning for performance advantage.
- Tendency of QCBM to produce periodic distributions.

#### **Open questions:**

• Scaling the learning for larger PQC leads to *sampling problem*.



- Numerical results show the connection between the depth of PQC and the optimal choice of optimizer for learning.
- Observed similarity to learning of classical RBM in the connection depth and optimal learning method.
- Cost functions, e.g. MMD, used in classical machine learning can be applied to quantum machine learning for performance advantage.
- Tendency of QCBM to produce periodic distributions.

#### **Open questions:**

- Scaling the learning for larger PQC leads to *sampling problem*.
- Introduction of *hints* for the learning of difficult distributions.



13/14

June 17, 2024

-

### Thank you for your attention



Figure 12: QR code leading to the project repository

QCBM learning video



Gabris and Hudecek (FNSPE at CTU and Wigner)

QCBM gradient and derivative-free

( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

14/14