### Probing the exotic nuclear structure of <sup>16</sup>O throught anisotropic flow fluctuations in O-O and p-O collisions at the LHC

G.G. Barnaföldi, Aswathy Menon K.R., N. Mallick, S. Prasad, R. Sahoo

Support: Hungarian NKFIH grants, NEMZ\_KI-2022-00031, 2024-1.2.5-TÉT-2024-00022, Wigner Scientific Computing Laboratory Ref.: O-O: Physics Letters B 860 (2025) 139145, p-O (in few days on the arXiv)

ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, Hungary, 6<sup>th</sup> May 2025



# Questions of this talk

### Can we

- model the hydrodynamical evolution of small collisional systems?
- measure flow in the collisions of small systems?
- make difference in nuclear structure models of the <sup>16</sup>O?
- validate nuclear structure models in June 2025 at the LHC?

# Motivation & definitions

# Primordial matter in heavy-ion collisions

### • QGP in experimental vs theory points

- By colliding heavy-ions we can form small drop of the hot & dense primordial matter
- No direct observations, just signatures: jet-quenching, correlations, collective effects, (anisotropic) flow...
- Need a complex description, including QCD phenomenology, hydrodynamics, (non-equilibrium) thermodynamics





# Flow $(v_n)$ in heavy-ion collisions

### • Experimental point:

 Flow describes the azimuthal momentum space anisotropy of particle emission for a non-central heavy-ion collision.



# Flow $(v_n)$ in heavy-ion collisions

### • Experimental point:

- Flow describes the azimuthal momentum space anisotropy of particle emission for a non-central heavy-ion collision.
- The n<sup>th</sup> harmonic coefficient of the Fourier expansion of azimuthal momentum distribution:

$$E\frac{d^{3}N}{dp^{3}} = \frac{d^{2}N}{p_{\rm T}dp_{\rm T}dy}\frac{1}{2\pi}\left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}v_{n}\cos[n(\phi - \psi_{n})]\right)$$



# Flow (v<sub>n</sub>) in heavy-ion collisions

### • Experimental point:

- Flow describes the azimuthal momentum space anisotropy of particle emission for a non-central heavy-ion collision.
- The n<sup>th</sup> harmonic coefficient of the Fourier expansion of azimuthal momentum distribution:

$$E\frac{d^{3}N}{dp^{3}} = \frac{d^{2}N}{p_{\mathrm{T}}dp_{\mathrm{T}}dy}\frac{1}{2\pi}\left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}v_{n}\cos[n(\phi - \psi_{n})]\right)$$

- The  $v_2(p_T, y) = \langle \cos(2(\phi - \psi_2)) \rangle$  directly reflects the initial spatial anisotropy of the nuclear overlap region in the transverse plane.



# Flow $(v_n)$ in heavy-ion collisions

### • Experimental point:

- Flow describes the azimuthal momentum space anisotropy of particle emission for a non-central heavy-ion collision.
- The n<sup>th</sup> harmonic coefficient of the Fourier expansion of azimuthal momentum distribution:

$$E\frac{d^{3}N}{dp^{3}} = \frac{d^{2}N}{p_{\mathrm{T}}dp_{\mathrm{T}}dy}\frac{1}{2\pi}\left(1 + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}v_{n}\cos[n(\phi - \psi_{n})]\right)$$

- The  $v_2(p_T, y) = \langle \cos(2(\phi - \psi_2)) \rangle$  directly reflects the initial spatial anisotropy of the nuclear overlap region in the transverse plane.





# Future Nuclear Collisions at LHC

- LHC Schedule with new nuclear collisions
  - Run 2: p-p, p-Pb, Pb-Pb, XeXe

### Breakthrough Prize in fundamental physics



Breakthrough Prize in Fundamental Physics 2025 awarded to the four large LHC experiments for

"... the exploration of nature at the shortest distances and most extreme conditions at CERN's Large Hadron Collider"



- Price ceremony in Los Angeles, 5th April 2025 attended by spokespersons of the experiments
- · Shared by all authors of run-2 publications (listed on web site)
- Prize money will be used for PhD studentship grants for a stay at CERN

# Future Nuclear Collisions at LHC

- LHC Schedule with new nuclear collisions
  - Run 2: p-p, p-Pb, Pb-Pb, XeXe
  - Run 3: p-p, p-Pb, Pb-Pb, p-O, & O-O



# Planned OO & pO Collisions at LHC

### 1-week oxygen pilot run at late June 2025 at LHC, 5.36 ATeV



## Nuclei & nuclear structure

High-mass and deformed nuclei are in the focus:



### Experimental possibilities & interest

- Large deformed nuclei: uranium, gold, xenon
- Smaller zirconium, rubidium, oxygen, neon



### Experimental possibilities & interest

- Large deformed nuclei: uranium, gold, xenon
- Smaller zirconium, rubidium, oxygen, neon
  - → Similarly as "gombóc" in Hungarian....





### Oxygen and Neon are unique

 Oxygen is a double magic nucleus, since both shells are closed shell. In cluster model Tetrahedron shape.

 Neon, has bowling pin shape, even more complicated geometry



PGCM\_clustered\_dmin0\_0.h5
 PGCM\_uniform\_dmin0\_Ne.h5
 PGCM\_uniform\_dmin0\_0.h5

### Modeling the oxygen

Woods-Saxon (WS)

$$\rho(r) = \rho_0 \left[ 1 + \alpha \left( \frac{r}{a} \right)^2 \right] \exp \left( \frac{-r^2}{a^2} \right)$$

- Harmonic oscillator (HO)

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_0 (1 + w(\frac{r}{r_0})^2)}{1 + \exp(\frac{r - r_0}{a})}$$

- Normalization:

$$\int \rho(r)d^3r = 4\pi \int \rho(r)r^2dr = Ze$$



### **Nuclear structure description**

- Cluster model vs.



G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

**Non-cluster model (Woods-Saxon)** 

### **Nuclear structure description**

- Cluster model vs.



G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

**Non-cluster model (Woods-Saxon)** 

### **Nuclear structure description**

- Cluster model vs WS & HO



### **Probability of the radial position in O**

# The shape of the OO collision

### **Nuclear structure description**

Cluster model vs WS



# The shape of the OO collision

### **Nuclear structure description**

- Cluster model vs WS





# The shape of the OO collision

### **Nuclear structure description**

- Cluster model vs WS





G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

# Excentricity in p-O & O-O

 $\epsilon_n =$ 

 $\sqrt{\langle r^n \cos(n\phi_{\text{part}}) \rangle^2 + \langle r^n \sin(n\phi_{\text{part}}) \rangle^2}$ 

 $\langle r^n \rangle$ 

**Excentricity in p-O** 



# Excentricity in p-O & O-O

 $\langle r^n cos(n\phi_{\text{part}}) \rangle^2 + \langle r^n sin(n\phi_{\text{part}}) \rangle^2$ Excentricity in p-O & O-O  $\epsilon_n =$  $r^n$ 0.8  $\langle \in \rangle$  $\langle \in _{n} \rangle$ p-O,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9.61$  TeV, IPGlasma O-O,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ , IPGlasma - n=2, Woods-Saxon n=3, Woods-Saxon  $\rightarrow$  n=3,  $\alpha$ -cluster  $\rightarrow$  n=3,  $\alpha$ -cluster 0.4 Impact Parameter based Mult. Classes Impact Parameter based Mult. Classes 0.6 0.3 0 0 0 0 0 0.2 0 0 0.4 0.1 <u>Woods-Saxon</u> α-cluster <u>Woods-Saxon</u> α-cluster 1.1 1.5 0.9 0.5 0.8 🗄 20 30 50 80 30 50 60 70 10 60 70 90 0 10 20 40 0 40

G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

# Calculating the flow in small systems

### **Event plane and average method**

 $v_n = \langle \cos[n(\phi - \psi_n)] \rangle$ 

 Need to determine the event plain, which fails for small nuclei:



G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

### **Event plane and average method**

$$v_n = \langle \cos[n(\phi - \psi_n)] \rangle$$

### **Multiparticle Q-cummulant method**

- Flow vector  $Q_n = \sum_{j=1}^M e^{in\phi_j}$
- The 2- and 4-particle cummulants are:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 2 \rangle &= \frac{|Q_n|^2 - M}{M(M-1)}, \\ \langle 4 \rangle &= \frac{|Q_n|^4 + |Q_{2n}|^2 - 2 \cdot \operatorname{Re}[Q_{2n}Q_n^*Q_n^*]}{M(M-1)(M-2)(M-3)} & \qquad c_n\{2\} = \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle, \\ c_n\{4\} &= \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle - 2 \cdot \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle^2 \\ &= \sqrt{c_n\{2\}}, \\ c_n\{4\} &= \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle - 2 \cdot \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle^2 \\ &= \sqrt{c_n\{4\}} = \sqrt[4]{-c_n\{4\}}, \end{aligned}$$

### Suppressing the non-flow contribution:

Kinematical cut: 2 sub-events, A&B are intoduced, with a rapidity gap:

$$-\Delta \eta = 1 \rightarrow$$

$$A \qquad B$$
2.5 
$$-0.5 \quad 0 \quad 0.5 \qquad 2.5$$
Pseudorapidity  $(\eta) \rightarrow$ 

$$\langle 2 \rangle_{\Delta \eta} = \frac{Q_n^A \cdot Q_n^{B*}}{M_A \cdot M_B} \qquad \qquad \blacktriangleright \qquad v_n \{2, |\Delta \eta|\}(p_{\mathrm{T}}) = \frac{d_n \{2, |\Delta \eta|\}}{\sqrt{c_n \{2, |\Delta \eta|\}}}$$

- Differential flow cummulants:

 $d_{n}\{2\} = \langle \langle 2^{'} \rangle \rangle,$  $d_{n}\{4\} = \langle \langle 4^{'} \rangle \rangle - 2\langle \langle 2^{'} \rangle \rangle \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle$   $d_{n}\{2, |\Delta \eta|\} = \langle \langle 2^{'} \rangle \rangle_{\Delta \eta}$ 

- Mean and the fluctuations of the flow & ratio:



### **Event plane and average method**



**Multiparticle Q-cummulant method** 



G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

# The Model

- A full hidro & Boltzmann transport with viscosity:
  - IPGlasma
  - MUSIC
  - iSS
  - URQMD

### Kinematical settings are:

- Energy (c.m.): 7 TeV O+O
- Pseudorapidity:  $|\eta| < 2.5$
- Transverse momentum:  $0.2 < p_{\rm T} < 5.0 \ {\rm GeV/c}$
- Pseudorapidity gap: ,  $|\Delta \eta| > 1.0$



# Flow in oxygen collisions: p-O & O-O

### 2-cummulants based calculation of v<sub>2</sub> & v<sub>3</sub>



### 2-cummulants based calculation of v<sub>2</sub> & v<sub>3</sub>



G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

### 2- & 4-cummulants based v<sub>n</sub> & c<sub>n</sub> calculations



G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

### 2- & 4-cummulants based v<sub>n</sub> & c<sub>n</sub> calculations



G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

### 2- & 4-cummulants based calculations

- Flow and fluctuation measures changed significantly in the most central 0-30% regime
- Alpha-cluster has larger values, than Wood-Saxon profile
- Higher cummulants has higher effect at larger centrality
- Clearly visible on the relative measure:  $F(v_n) = \frac{\sigma_{v_n}}{\langle v_n \rangle}$



G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

# Flow components in p-O @ 9.61TeV

### 2-cummulants based $v_n \& c_n$ calculations for p-O & O-O



G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

### Some answers...

### Can we

- model the hydrodynamical evolution of small collisional systems?

 $\rightarrow$  Yes, with the IPGIazma + MUSIC + ISS + URQMD

- measure flow in the collisions of small systems?

→ Yes, we can calculate IF non-flow components are removed ( $|\eta|$ >1.0): v<sub>2</sub> with 2- & 4-cummulant, but v<sub>3</sub> with 2-cummulant only

- make difference in nuclear structure models of the <sup>16</sup>O?  $\rightarrow$  Yes, the difference of WS vs.  $\alpha$ -cluster can be 20-30% in O-O and <10% p-O!
- validate nuclear structure models in June 2025 at the LHC?

# To take away...

Yes, we can measure this <u>remarkable</u> <u>effect in O-O</u> and a <u>smaller in p-O</u> collisions, so nuclear structure models can be validated at TeV energy at the LHC!

## Thank You!



# Eccentricity in O+O @ 7TeV

### **Eccentricity vs multiplicity for v2 and v3 and Woods-Saxon/Cluster**



G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025

### **2-cummulants based** $v_n(p_T)$ calculations



#### **Centrality**

G.G. Barnafoldi: ACHT 2025, ELTE, Budapest, 2025