# Quantum Machine Learning in High Energy Physics Examples from CERN



**Sofia Vallecorsa** CERN QTI Coordinator CERN

# Content

- The CERN Quantum Technology Initiative
- Research program in Quantum Computing
- A short detour
- Introduction Quantum Machine Learning
- Example results:
  - Kernel based classification
  - Quantum Guided Compression
  - Anomaly Detection
  - Symmetries and geometric QML
  - Reinforcement Learning
- The end



CERN is the world's biggest laboratory for particle physics.

CERN

CMS

SUISSE

RANC

Our goal is to understand the fundamental particles and laws of the universe.

CERN Mevrin

ATLAS

**CERN** Prévessin

### **CERN Quantum Technology Initiative**

### Launched January 2020



How can future quantum technologies contribute to CERN's scientific mission?

# How can CERN's technologies and expertise contribute to the quantum revolution?

https://quantum.cern

QTI Roadmap: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5553774



# **Our areas of research**







## The QTI Hub: A collaboration framework for QTI

The QTI Hub creates a community of partners investigating the differt areas of quantum technologies. Enable access to diverse quantum technology and services

Provide a **unified framework for all collaborative projects** QTI is setting up with multiple partners.

Est R&

Establish a clear separation between commercial relationships and R&D collaborations

Facilitate follow-up and ensure **more efficient coordination of projects** also across departments.

Allow for **multiples approaches to IP protection** according to CERN policies.



# **QTI Objectives**

Integrate quantum computers within HEP computing model

Make CERN a node of the future European network infrastructure

Play a major role in the development of next generation detectors for fundamental physics

Join the broader quantum ecosystem to multiply impact

Develop **hybrid algorithms** for realistic applications; Contribute to **infrastructure development** 

Design Quantum Network demonstrators incorporating **White Rabbit** for time synchronization;

Characterize **performance of communication protocols** in realistic use cases

Develop **superconducting RF cavities** for sensing and computing applications;

Significant contribution to ECFA DRD5 program

Setup **co-development partnerships** with companies, institutes and other entities.



### **Hybrid Quantum Computing**

Sustain integration of quantum computing within HEP computing model

Develop quantum algorithms and Quantum Machine Learning

 Understand the performance of near-term quantum infrastructure in hybrid setups (HPC + QC, ..)

Study scaling toward fault tolerant

Most of these developments are common to areas beyond HEP

# **Main Quantum Computing Paradigms**



#### **Gate-based quantum computers**

### Analog quantum simulators



### **Quantum annealers**



Solve task with an algorithm containing a **series of quantum gates**, implementing any unitary transformation



**FECHNOLOGY** 

Embed task in a graph & solve Ising or QUBO formulation, using dynamic qubit positioning but no or poor local qubit control

Pasqal Quera>

Embed task in a Binary Quadratic Model & solve Ising or QUBO problems, using static qubit connectivity and local control











# HL-LHC: The curse of dimensionality



200 simultaneous collisions!



# HL-LHC: The

200 simultaneou collisions!



Annual CPU Consumption [MHS06years]



Year

# **Theory and simulations challenges**

• We are interested in out-of equilibrium and realtime dynamic problems

(scattering, thermalisation or dynamics after quenches)

- Complex equation of states and phase diagrams (QCD)
- Standard Monte Carlo solutions are two expensive or fail entirely



#### hard scattering

- (QED) initial/final state radiation
- partonic decays, e.g.  $t \rightarrow bW$
- parton shower evolution
- nonperturbative gluon splitting
- colour singlets
- colourless clusters
- cluster fission
- cluster  $\rightarrow$  hadrons
- hadronic decays

### Why do we think that Quantum Computers could be a solution to data simulation and data analysis in HEP ?

High Energy Physics studies quantum correlations at high energy





# A short detour...



14



Announcement of the 2022 Nobel Prize in Physics

### NOBELPRISET I FYSIK 2022 THE NOBEL PRIZE IN PHYSICS 2022





Alain Aspect Université Paris-Saclay & École Polytechnique, France



John F. Clauser J.F. Clauser & Assoc., USA



Anton Zeilinger University of Vienna, Austria

"för experiment med sammanflätade fotoner som påvisat brott mot Bell-olikheter och banat väg för kvantinformationsvetenskap"

"for experiments with entangled photons, establishing the violation of Bell inequalities and pioneering quantum information science" #nobelprize

MORE VIDEOS

https://youtu.be/mtgYG2zsbbQ



### **The Bell inequalities**



- 1964: Bell inequalities prove that no theory based on local hidden variables (realism) can reproduce QM results
- Major step confirming the possibility of using distant entangled photons as a quantum information resource
- Create **"artificial" quantum states** for a range of applications (single photons, trapped ions, superconductors, etc.)



### **Quantum Technologies**



# The highest energy observation of quantum entanglement

▶ Nature. 2024 Sep 18;633(8030):542–547. doi: <u>10.1038/s41586-024-07824-z</u> 🗷

Observation of quantum entanglement with top quarks at the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS Collaboration<sup>1</sup>

Author information Article notes Copyright and License information
 PMCID: PMC11410654 PMID: 39294352

Observation of quantum entanglement in top quark pair production in proton–proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=13\,{\rm TeV}$ 

The CMS Collaboration Published 23 October 2024 • © CERN, for the benefit of the CMS Collaboration <u>Reports on Progress in Physics</u>, <u>Volume 87</u>, <u>Number 11</u> Citation The CMS Collaboration 2024 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **87** 117801 DOI 10.1088/1361-6633/ad7e4d







# QML concept and examples





# **Quantum Computing .. A computer science perspective**

Principles of quantum mechanics enhance computations

**Superposition** leads to parallelism  $\rightarrow$  **exponential speedup?** 

Entanglement  $\rightarrow$  non linear correlation and classical intractability?

Operations (gates) are unitary transformations reversible computing?

Output is the result of a measurement according to Born rule  $\rightarrow$  stochastic computation ?

No-cloning theorem  $\rightarrow$  information security

Quantum state coherence and isolation  $\rightarrow$  computation stability and errors

Qubit state collapses → reproducibility?



# QML: Quantum computing to "improve" ML

- Speed-up and complexity
- Sample efficiency
- Representational power
- Energy efficiency???
- Evaluate performance on realistic use cases
- QPU as accelerators within classical infrastructure?



### Study classical intractability:

OUANTUM

ECHNOLOGY

Focus on quantum circuits that are **not efficiently simulable classically?** 

Cerezo, Marco, *et al. "Variational quantum algorithms."* Nature Reviews Physics3.9 (2021)

# **Quantum Machine** Learning Lifecycle

The quantum advantage of many known QML algorithms is impeded by an input or output bottleneck





# **Models**

### Variational algorithms (ex. QNN)

Gradient-free or gradient-based optimization Data Embedding can be learned Ansatz design can leverage data symmetries<sup>1</sup>



### Kernel methods (ex. QSVM)

#### Feature maps as quantum kernels

Classical kernel-based training (convex losses)

Identify classes of kernels that relate to specific data structures<sup>2</sup>

> $x \cdot$ input space  $\chi$   $|\phi(x)\rangle$  access via measurements

Energy-based ML (ex. QBM)

Build networks of **stochastic binary units** and optimise their energy. QBM has quadratic energy function that follows the Boltzman distribution (Ising Hamiltonian)



22.05.2025

<sup>1</sup> Bogatskiy, Alexander, et al. **"Lorentz group equivariant neural network for particle physics**." PMLR, 2020. <sup>2</sup> Glick, Jennifer R., et al. **"Covariant quantum kernels for data with group structure**." *arXiv:2193.03406* (2021) <sup>3</sup>Jerbi, Sofiene, et al. **"Quantum machine learning beyond kernel methods**." *arXiv:2110.13162* (2021).

### **Parameter optimization**

# Simultaneous Perturbation Stochastic Approximation (SPSA) (gradient-free)

If gradient computation not possible, too resource-intensive,

or noise-robustness required (slower convergence but fewer function evaluations)

Gradient is approximated by two sampling steps and parameters are perturbed in all directions simultaneously

 $\leftarrow \Theta_{k} - a_{k} \hat{g}(\hat{\theta}_{k})$ 

https://pennylane.ai/qml/demos/

tutorial spsa

stochastic estimate of Vaf

 $\begin{aligned} & y(\theta) = f(\theta) + E \\ & \sim \text{raudom} \\ & \text{output perturbation} \\ & \hat{g}(\hat{\theta}_{k}) = \frac{y(\hat{\theta}_{k} + C_{k}\Delta_{k}) - y(\hat{\theta}_{k} - C_{k}\Delta_{k})}{2C_{k}\Delta_{ki}} \end{aligned}$ Iterative update rule comparable to classical stochastic gradient descertion.  $C_{k} \ge 0, \ \Delta_{k} = (\Delta_{k_{1}}, \Delta_{k_{2}}, \dots, \Delta_{k_{p}})^{T} \text{ perturbation vector}.
\end{aligned}$ 

(~ randomly sampled from zero-mean distr.)





# Model convergence in the quantum space

#### Gradient-based optimization suffers from "barren plateaus"

- Quantum NN are strongly affected
- Need **compromise** between "power" and convergence





# **Challenges for QML**

- Efficient data handling and data embedding
- Find balance: Generalization and representational power vs. Convergence and intractability
  - Problem of barren plateaus and vanishing gradients in optimization landscape
  - How well can we survey the Hilbert space (expressibility)?
- Current hardware limitations
  - Limited number of qubits and connectivity → data dimensionality reduction
  - Quantum Noise Effects (decoherence, measurement errors or gate-level errors)
  - Efficient interplay between classical and quantum computer



. . . .

# **Typical data analysis setup**

#### Signal vs background discrimination

- Define a number of features that distinguish signal wrt background
- Study and characterise those features
- Build criteria for improving separation



NITIATIVE





Can QML leverage the «exponential advantage ?»





# Quantum embedding and kernel methods

- Create classically intractable features in the Hilbert space
- Estimate Fidelity kernel
- Use classical training (convex losses)





$$\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{L}_{abe} | (z) = \operatorname{sigm} \left( \sum \alpha_{i} \mathcal{G}_{i} \left( \mathbf{x}_{i}, z \right) + b \right)$$
$$| \langle \Phi(\bar{x}) | \Phi(\bar{z}) \rangle|^{2} = | \langle O^{m} | \mathcal{U}_{\Phi(\bar{x})}^{\dagger} \mathcal{U}_{\Phi(\bar{x})} | O^{m} \rangle |^{2}$$

# Projected Quantum Kernel

Project quantum kernels to lower dimensionality (i.e. local density matrix)<sup>1</sup>:

- Improved generalizion while keeping features into states classically hard
- Example: ttH(bb) binary classification<sup>2</sup>



 $k^{P}(x_{i}, x_{j}) = \sum_{k} \frac{T_{r} \left[ P_{k}(x_{i}) P_{k}(x_{j}) \right]}{m}$ 



<sup>1</sup>Huang, Hsin-Yuan, et al. "Power of data in quantum machine learning." *Nature communications* 12.1 (2021): 2631. <sup>2</sup> V Belis et al, (2021), *Higgs Analysis with Quantum Classifiers*, EPJ Web Conf



How do we address the limitations of current quantum hardware ?





### **Guided Quantum Compression**





Two independent steps: Classical preprocessing and quantum classification

QUANTUM

INITIATIVE

TECHNOLOGY

g 000000

g 000000

200000

600 features



# Quantum Guided data compresstion

# Result

Guided quantum Compression greatly improves the performance

We can build efficient hybrid systems for HEP

> QUANTUM TECHNOLOGY

NITIATIVE





Does entanglement allow QML to learn more complex distributions?





### Uncharted High Energy Frontier

No hints of physics beyond the Standard Model

Most searches focus on specific **theoretical models** ...

	Madal	P av	loto.	Emiss /	( C	Jimit	· · · ·	Defenses
Extra dimensions	ADD $G_{KK} + g/q$ ADD $G_{KK} + g/q$ ADD $OR + escape and y = 2$ ADD BH multijet RS1 $G_{KK} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow WW/ZZ$ Bulk RS $G_{KK} \rightarrow WV - \ell vqq$ Bulk RS $g_{KK} \rightarrow W$	$0 e, \mu, \tau, \gamma$ $2\gamma$ $-$ $2\gamma$ multi-channe $1 e, \mu$ $1 e, \mu$	$1-4j$ $-2j$ $\geq 3j$ $-2j/1J$ $\geq 1b, \geq 1J/2$ $\geq 2b, \geq 3j$	 Yes   Yes j Yes	139 36.7 139 3.6 139 36.1 139 36.1 26.1	Limit         1           0         1           5         6.5 T           w         9.45           xx:mass         2.3 TeV           xx:mass         2.0 TeV           xx:mass         2.0 TeV           xx:mass         1.8 TeV	<b>1.2 TeV</b> $n = 2$ <b>V</b> $n = 3$ HLZ NLO <b>TeV</b> $n = 6$ , $M_D = 3$ TeV, not BH $k/\overline{M}_{PP} = 0.1$ $k/\overline{M}_{PP} = 1.0$ $k/\overline{M}_{PP} = 1.0$ $True (1.10 gr (d^{11}) - tt) = 1$	2102.10874 1707.04147 1910.08447 1512.02586 2102.13405 1808.02380 2004.14836 1804.10823 1809.06278
Gauge bosons	$\begin{array}{l} \text{SSM } Z' \to t\ell \\ \text{SSM } Z' \to t\ell \\ \text{Leptophobic } Z' \to bb \\ \text{Leptophobic } Z' \to tt \\ \text{SSM } W' \to t\gamma \\ \text{SSM } W' \to \tau\gamma \\ \text{SSM } W' \to \taub \\ \text{HVT } W' \to WZ \to \ell\nu qq \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } W' \to WZ \to \ell\nu \ell'' \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } W' \to WZ \to \ell\nu \ell'' \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to ZH \to \ell\ell(\gamma rbs \text{ model } E \\ \text{HVT } Z' \to Z$	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \tau \\ - \\ 0 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ \tau \\ - \\ B \ 1 \ e, \mu \\ C \ 3 \ e, \mu \\ B \ 0, 2 \ e, \mu \\ B \ 0, 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \mu \end{array}$	2b ≥1 b, ≥2 J  ≥1 b, ≥1 J 2 j / 1 J 2 j (VBF) 1-2 b, 1-0 j 1 J	- Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	139 36.1 36.1 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 139 13	mass         5.1 TeV           mass         2.42 TeV           mass         2.1 TeV           mass         2.1 TeV           mass         6.0 TeV           mass         5.0 TeV           mass         5.0 TeV           mass         4.1 TeV           mass         5.0 TeV           mass         3.3 TeV           mass         3.2 TeV           mass         5.0 TeV	$\Gamma/m = 1.2\%$ $g_V = 3$ $g_V c_H = 1, g_V = 0$ $g_V = 3$ $g_V = 3$ $g_V = 3$ $m(N_H) = 0.5 \text{ TeV}, g_L = g_R$	1903.06248 1703.07242 1805.08299 2005.05138 1906.05609 ATLAS-CONF-2021-02 ATLAS-CONF-2021-04 2004.14636 ATLAS-CONF-2022-00 2207.00230 2207.00230 1904.12679
ũ	Cl qqqq Cl ℓℓqq Cl eebs Cl μμbs Cl tttt	2 e, μ 2 e 2 μ ≥1 e,μ	2 j - 1 b ≥1 b, ≥1 j	- - - Yes	37.0 139 139 139 36.1	1.8 TeV 2.0 TeV 2.5 TeV	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{21.8 TeV}  \eta_{LL} \\ \textbf{35.8 TeV} \\ \textbf{g}_{*} = 1 \\ \textbf{g}_{*} = 1 \\  \textbf{C}_{et}  = 4\pi \end{array}  \eta_{LL}$	1703.09127 2006.12946 2105.13847 2105.13847 1811.02305
DM	Axial-vector med. (Dirac DM) Pseudo-scalar med. (Dirac DM) Vector med. Z'-2HDM (Dirac DM Pseudo-scalar med. 2HDM+a	0 e, μ, τ, γ 0 e, μ, τ, γ ) 0 e, μ multi-channe	1 – 4 j 1 – 4 j 2 b	Yes Yes Yes	139 139 139 139 139	med 2.1 TeV med 376 GeV 376 GeV 3.1 TeV med 560 GeV	$\begin{array}{l} g_{q}{=}0.25, \ g_{\chi}{=}1, \ m(\chi){=}1 \ {\rm GeV} \\ g_{q}{=}1, \ g_{\chi}{=}1, \ m(\chi){=}1 \ {\rm GeV} \\ {\rm tan} \ \beta{=}1, \ g_{\chi}{=}0.8, \ m(\chi){=}10 \ {\rm GeV} \\ {\rm tan} \ \beta{=}1, \ g_{\chi}{=}1, \ m(\chi){=}10 \ {\rm GeV} \end{array}$	2102.10874 2102.10874 2108.13391 ATLAS-CONF-2021-03
ΓO	Scalar LQ 1 <sup>st</sup> gen Scalar LQ 2 <sup>nd</sup> gen Scalar LQ 3 <sup>rd</sup> gen Scalar LQ 3 <sup>rd</sup> gen Scalar LQ 3 <sup>rd</sup> gen Scalar LQ 3 <sup>rd</sup> gen Vector LQ 3 <sup>rd</sup> gen	$2 e  2 \mu  1 \tau  0 e, \mu  \geq 2 e, \mu, \geq 1 \tau  0 e, \mu, \geq 1 \tau  1 \tau$	$ \begin{array}{c} \geq 2 \ j \\ \geq 2 \ j \\ \geq 2 \ j \\ \geq 2 \ j, \geq 2 \ b \\ \geq 1 \ j, \geq 1 \ b \\ 0 - 2 \ j, 2 \ b \\ 2 \ b \end{array} $	Yes Yes Yes - Yes Yes	139 139 139 139 139 139 139 139	Dass         1.8 TeV           mass         1.7 TeV           mass         1.2 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} \beta=1\\ \beta=1\\ \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{LQ}_3^{\prime\prime}\rightarrow b\tau)=1\\ \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{LQ}_3^{\prime\prime}\rightarrow t\tau)=1\\ \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{LQ}_3^{\prime\prime}\rightarrow t\tau)=1\\ \mathcal{B}(\mathrm{LQ}_3^{\prime\prime}\rightarrow b\tau)=0.5, \mathrm{Y}\mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{coupl.} \end{array}$	2006.05872 2006.05872 2108.07665 2004.14060 2101.11582 2101.12527 2108.07665
fermions	$ \begin{array}{l} VLQ \ TT \rightarrow Zt + X \\ VLQ \ BB \rightarrow Wt/Zb + X \\ VLQ \ T_{5/3} \ T_{5/3} \ T_{5/3} \ T_{5/3} \rightarrow Wt + X \\ VLQ \ T \rightarrow Ht/Zt \\ VLQ \ Y \rightarrow Wb \\ VLQ \ B \rightarrow Hb \\ VLL \ \tau' \rightarrow Z\tau/H\tau \end{array} $	$2e/2\mu/\geq 3e,\mu$ multi-channe $2(SS)/\geq 3e,\mu$ $1e,\mu$ $1e,\mu$ $0e,\mu \geq$ multi-channe	$x \ge 1$ b, $\ge 1$ j $x \ge 1$ b, $\ge 1$ j $\ge 1$ b, $\ge 3$ j $\ge 1$ b, $\ge 1$ j $\ge 2$ b, $\ge 1$ j, $\ge 1$ j $\ge 2$ b, $\ge 1$ j, $\ge 1$ j	- Yes Yes J - Yes	139 36.1 36.1 139 36.1 139 139	mass         1.4 TeV           mass         1.34 TeV           v, mass         1.64 TeV           mass         1.8 TeV           mass         1.8 TeV           mass         1.8 TeV           mass         2.0 TeV	SU(2) doublet SU(2) doublet $\mathcal{B}(T_{5/3} \rightarrow Wt) = 1, c(T_{5/3}Wt) = 1$ SU(2) singlet, $\kappa_T = 0.5$ $\mathcal{B}(Y \rightarrow Wb) = 1, c_R(Wb) = 1$ SU(2) doublet, $\kappa_B = 0.3$ SU(2) doublet	ATLAS-CONF-2021-02- 1808.02343 1807.11883 ATLAS-CONF-2021-04 1812.07343 ATLAS-CONF-2021-01 ATLAS-CONF-2022-04
fermions	Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow qg$ Excited quark $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ Excited quark $b^* \rightarrow bg$ Excited lepton $\ell^*$ Excited lepton $\nu^*$	- 1 γ 3 e, μ 3 e, μ, τ	2 j 1 j 1 b, 1 j -	- - - -	139 36.7 139 20.3 20.3	mass 6.7 TeV mass 5.3 TeV mass 3.2 TeV mass 3.0 TeV mass 1.6 TeV	only $u^*$ and $d^*$ , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ only $u^*$ and $d^*$ , $\Lambda = m(q^*)$ $\Lambda = 3.0 \text{ TeV}$ $\Lambda = 1.6 \text{ TeV}$	1910.08447 1709.10440 1910.0447 1411.2921 1411.2921
Other	Type III Seesaw LRSM Majorana $\nu$ Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$ Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell\ell$ Higgs triplet $H^{\pm\pm} \rightarrow \ell$ Multi-charged particles Magnetic monopoles	2,3,4 e, µ 2 µ 2,3,4 e, µ (SS 2,3,4 e, µ (SS 3 e, µ, τ –	≥2 j 2 j 3) various 3) – – –	Yes  Yes   	139 36.1 139 139 20.3 139 34.4	P mass         910 GeV           mass         350 GeV         3.2 TeV           ** mass         350 GeV         1.06 TeV           ** mass         400 GeV         1.05 TeV           ** mass         400 GeV         2.37 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} m(W_R) = 4.1 \ {\rm TeV}, g_L = g_R \\ {\rm DY \ production} \\ {\rm DY \ production} \\ {\rm DY \ production}, \ \mathcal{B}(H_L^{\pm\pm} \to \ell \tau) = 1 \\ {\rm DY \ production}, \ g q = 5e \\ {\rm DY \ production}, \  g  = 1g_D, \ {\rm spin \ 1/2} \end{array}$	2202.02039 1809.11105 2101.11961 ATLAS-CONF-2022-011 1411.2921 ATLAS-CONF-2022-03 1905.10130

\*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown.

†Small-radius (large-radius) jets are denoted by the letter j (J).



## Uncharted High Energy Frontier

No hints of physics beyond the Standard Model

Most searches focus on specific **theoretical models** ...



Need to cast the net wider!

... Model Agnostic Anomaly Detection ... based on Deep Learning



### **Anomaly Detection on Quantum Computers**





### Hybrid implementation: Use classical data compression

#### Model Agnostic approach:

- Train using baseline data ٠
- New physics will be • flagged as an anomaly





### **Performance driven by intrinsically quantum properties!**

24 qubits SVM reaches 14x classical model performance

This is a simulation. Trend confirmed on IBM Q *Toronto* 

*Is this evidence for quantum advantage?* 





Belis V., GM, et al - COMMSPHYS-

23-1149C





Can QML address problems of limited data/resources?





Kaiser, J., Xu, C., Eichler, A. *et al.* **Reinforcement learning-trained optimisers and Bayesian optimisation for online particle accelerator tuning.** *Sci Rep* **14**, 15733 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-66263-y

INITIATIVE



Michael Schenk et al., **Hybrid actor-critic algorithm for quantum reinforcement learning at CERN beam lines**, e-Print: 2209.11044, under review «**Quantum Science and Technology**»

# **Quantum reinforcement learning**



Quantum RL massively outperforms classical algorithm in terms of model size and steps to convergence











# **Equivariant Quantum CNN**

- Construct equivariant quantum CNN under rotational & reflectional symmetry (p4m)
- Improved generalization power



# Extended MNIST Image classification: (digits 4,5)







# **Non-convexity of loss landscape**

#### 0.80 0.75 0.70 0.65 0.60 0.55 0.50 0.45 6 4 $^{-8}$ $^{-6}$ $^{-4}$ $^{-2}$ $^{0}$ $^{2}$ $^{4}$ $^{6}$ 2 0 -2-4-68

### Loss landscape plotted with orqviz

#### Non-equivariant QCNN

QUANTUM TECHNOLOGY

INITIATIVE



22.05.2025



#### ApprEquivQCNN



# What about running in realistic conditions?



Tüysüz, Cenk, et al. "Symmetry breaking in geometric quantum machine learning in the presence of noise." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.10293* (2024).

# Noise induced symmetry breaking

**Noise** effects on **EQNN** wrt discrete symmetry groups e.g.

 $\mathbf{Z}_2: R(\sigma) \cdot (x_i) = -x_i$ 

Bit Flip, Depolarizing (Pauli) and **Amplitude Damping channels** 





(d) BEL



EQNN performance drops with AD



Adaptive threshold classification



**EQNN-Z native:**  $Z_0Z_1$  commutes with the AD channel generator, but native gate set is limited on hardware!



### **Introducing Adaptive Thresholds**

Apart from Noise induced Barren Plateau and exponential concentrations the AD channel exhibits the largest effect on the accuracy performance.

The AD channel shifts the mean of the Z observable: this results in the model having a bias towards one label



Use **adaptive threshold:** computed as the median over the predictions of the training set at every iteration.

#### Adaptive threshold classification





### Symmetry breaking on hardware

$$LM = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{(\tau(\hat{y}_i) - \tau(\hat{y}_j))^2}{\tau(\hat{y}_i) + \tau(\hat{y}_j)}$$

Label Misassignment uses adaptive thresholds







Confirms AD channel is dominant

Symmetry breaking is linear in the number of layers

Tests on *ibm\_cusco* using **hardware efficient ansatz** and **pulse efficient gate** implementation

create  $R_{ZX}(\theta)$  gates by controlling pulses in a continuous way

LM reaches 50% (random) at around 50 qubits







# **Open questions**

- Today's approach to Quantum Machine Learning is variational or kernel based
  - Currently gradient based optimisation is suboptimal
  - Can we train Quantum Machine Learning algorithms effectively?
- How do we define **advantage** ?
- What is the definition of a fair classical benchmark ?
- Experimental High Energy Physics data has high dimensionality
  - Can we reduce the impact of data reduction techniques?
- Experimental High Energy Physics data is shaped by **physics laws** 
  - Can we leverage them to build better algorithms?



# **The Future Circular Collider**



CERN is investigating the feasibility of a 91 km circumference collider Global collaboration: 150 institutes & 30 companies from 34 countries If approved, it will start operations ≥ 2045 and continue until the end of the century!

Time scale for fault tolerant quantum computing era?

# Thanks !



# **Geometric Quantum Machine Learning**

- Given a data point  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and its label  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$
- Estimate the prediction  $y_{\theta}$  from observable 0:  $y_{\theta}(x) = \langle \psi(x) | \mathcal{U}^{\dagger}(\theta) O \mathcal{U}(\theta) | \psi(x) \rangle$
- Given a symmetry group  $\mathfrak{G}$  on the data space  $\mathcal{X}$
- $\mathfrak{G}$  Invariance : For all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $g \in \mathfrak{G}$

$$y_{\theta}(g[x]) = y_{\theta}(x)$$

Final prediction y<sub>θ</sub> is invariant if:

#### Equivariant data embedding:

For feature map  $\psi \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{H}$ 

 $|\psi(g[x])\rangle = V_{s}[g]|\psi(x)0\rangle$ 

 $V_{s}[g]$  = **Representation** of g on  $\mathcal{H}$  induced by  $\psi$ 

#### **Equivariant ansatz:**

For operators generated by a fixed generator G as  $R_G(\theta) = \exp(-i\theta G)$ :

 $[R_G(\theta), V_S[g]] = 0 \iff [G, V_S[g]] = 0$ 

Invariant Measurement:  $V_s^{\dagger}[g]OV_s[g] = O$ 



# **Gradients decay and Model Convergence**

Classical gradients vanish exponentially with the number of layers (J.McClean *et al.*, arXiv:1803.11173)

• Convergence still possible if gradients consistent between batches.

#### Quantum gradient decay exponentially in the number of qubits (number of graph paths is exponential in the number of gates)

- Random circuit initialization
- Loss function locality in shallow circuits (M. Cerezo *et al.*, arXiv:2001.00550)
- Ansatz choice: TTN, CNN (Zhang *et al.*, arXiv:2011.06258, A Pesah, *et al.*, *Physical Review X* 11.4 (2021): 041011.)
- Noise induced barren plateau (Wang, S *et al.*, Nat Commun 12, 6961 (2021))

Large number of measurements:  $1/\epsilon^2$  measurements to estimate a cost to precision  $\epsilon$ 





QCNN: A Pesah, et al., Physical Review X 11.4 (2021): 041011

### **Parameter optimization**

$$\theta \rightarrow \theta - \eta \nabla_{\theta} f$$

#### The parameter-shift rule (gradient-based)

Compute **partial derivative** of variational circuit parameter  $\theta$ , alternative to analytical gradient computation and classical finite difference rule (numerical errors and resource cost considerations)



$$\Rightarrow \nabla_{\Theta} \langle \hat{A} \rangle = u \left[ \langle \hat{A} (\Theta + \frac{\pi}{4u}) \rangle - \langle \hat{A} (\Theta - \frac{\pi}{4u}) \rangle \right]$$

<sup>(</sup> < Â(0) >

Evaluate Quantum Circuit twice at shifted parameters to compute gradient

Source:https://pennylane.ai/qml/demos/tutorial\_stochastic\_parameter\_shift/

