



AWAKE Rb-Workshop 05-05-2017

Some vague proposals of Rb-vapor / plasma experiments

Misha Martyanov Anna Bachmann

05-05-2017



Outline – vague and conceptual



- Longitudinal Rb-cell diagnostic
- **RF-wave reflection from plasma with moving ionization front boundary**
- Self-focusing / guiding / trapping next to a resonant absorption line



Rb vapour / plasma









Rb vapour cell – possible probe directions





- Rb vapor cell
- Ti:Sa powerful laser
- Narrow-band (<10MHz) tunable laser
- $\rightarrow N_{Rb} = 1 \div 10 \cdot 10^{14} / \text{ cm}^3$
- \rightarrow for ionization
- \rightarrow for probing / diagnostics



Every slice of a long probing pulse (>66ns) is moving partially in Rb vapour and partially in plasma. Depending of the delay within the probing pulse every slice experience a different phase advance $\Delta \varphi(t)$

$$\Delta \varphi(t) = k_0 L(t/2T + n_{Rb}(\omega)(1 - t/2T))$$



Interference signal is detected by a photo-diode on a fast scope

Interference signal is proportional to: ~ $\cos(k_0 L(t/2T + n_{Rb}(\omega)(1-t/2T)))$

Number of fringes depends on detuning, fringes within 66ns has to be resolved by a fast scope.





Probe laser parameters for longitudinal diagnostics





Example:

Rb-density $N_{Rb} = 10^{15} / \text{ cm}^3$, $L_{Rb} = 10 \text{ m}$

Low attenuation $\Delta f > 500 \text{ GHz} (\sim 1 \text{ nm})$

Phase advance $< 2\pi \cdot 800$

- Given typical resolution of a fast scope (20GHz/60Gsa) we can afford 200 interference fringes within 66ns, assuming 330ps/period
- So maximum phase advance is $\Delta \phi_{max} = 2\pi \cdot 200$, and thus detuning has to be ~2 THz (~4 nm)



Longitudinal interferometry of Rb vapour / plasma



Problems / solutions:

- Transverse overlap of ionizing and probe lasers
 - probe pulse mode degradation
- Coupling / decoupling of lasers
 - different polarizations
 - Bruster plates / polarizers
 - Zero-order grating reflections (inside a compressor)
 - Faraday isolataors, Pockels cells etc.)

Plasma cell Polarizer: Ionizing and probe beams are decoupled due to cross polarizations Last diffraction grating: FR cell Decoupling of a probe beam in 0-order due to horizontal polarization Pockels cell



Ionization laser group velocity $\frac{V_{gr}}{c} = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{f_p}{f_L}\right)^2} \approx 1 - 2\left(\frac{f_p}{f_L}\right)^2 \qquad f_p[GHz] \approx 90\sqrt{N_{Rb}[10^{14}/cm^3]}$

Ionization front velocity equal (?) to group velocity of ionizing laser:

$$f_p \sim 400 \text{ GHz} (N_{Rb} = 2.10^{15} / \text{ cm}^3), \quad f_L \sim 400 \text{ THz}$$

1 - V_{gr} / c ~ 2·10⁻⁶ \Leftrightarrow "equivalent Lorentz factor γ " ~ 500

What if seed an RF-wave counter propagating to an ionization front?

Boost to front frame: $f_{RF} = f_{RF} \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}} = 1000 f_{RF}$, plasma frequency stays the same (N_e/m=inv)! If $f_{RF} < f_p$ (i.e. $f_{RF} < 0.4$ GHz), then RF wave reflects from plasma boundary. Boost back to lab frame: $f_{RF} = f_{RF} \frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} = 10^6 f_{RF} \sim 400$ THz, in or example – it is visible! Mikhail Martyanov, Max-Planck Institute for

05-05-2017



RF-wave reflection from an ionization front. Some practical limitations



$$1 - \frac{V_{gr}}{c} = 1 - \beta \approx 2 \left(\frac{f_p}{f_L}\right)^2$$

RF frequency in the moving front frame: (must be less than f_p for high reflectivity)

$$f_{RF}^{'} = f_{RF} \sqrt{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} = f_{RF} \left(\frac{f_L}{f_p}\right) \quad must \ be < f_p$$

Up-shifted RF frequency in lab frame:

$$f_{RF}^{"} = f_{RF} \frac{2}{1-\beta} = f_{RF} \left(\frac{f_L}{f_p}\right)^2 < f_L$$

In reasonable conditions ($f_{RF} < f_p$), up-shifted frequency always less than laser frequency! Up-shifted frequency can be in near/far IR or THz range Sacrificing the efficiency, $f_{RF} < 10f_p$, then $f_{RF} < 10f_L$, it is potentially deep UV.

Wavelength of reflected visible light being measured by time resolving spectrometer gives information about $f_p(t = z / c)$



Self-focusing next to a resonant absorption line. Known since 1966.







To the left of the line - defocusing

To the right of the line - focusing

-1.9 GHz



Absolute values of detuning are not very accurate





Laser beam being properly tuned saturate an absorption and tends to self focus at positive frequency detuning



Courtesy of Anna Bachmann



Self-focusing: simulation of two-level system



Equations are very similar to those for laser gain (Frantz-Nodvik)

)

$$N_{0} = N_{1} + N_{2} = const$$

$$\frac{\partial N_{1}(x,t)}{\partial t} = -(N_{1} - N_{2})\sigma \frac{I(x,t)}{hv} + \frac{N_{2}}{\tau}$$

$$\frac{\partial N_{2}(x,t)}{\partial t} = +(N_{1} - N_{2})\sigma \frac{I(x,t)}{hv} - \frac{N_{2}}{\tau}$$

$$\frac{\partial I(x,t)}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial I(x,t)}{\partial t} = -(N_{1} - N_{2})\sigma I(x,t)$$

$$A = \sigma(N_1 - N_2)$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial A(z,t)}{\partial t} = -2A\frac{I}{E_s} \\ \frac{\partial I(z,t)}{\partial z} = -AI \end{cases}$$

With a solution:

$$A(z,t) = \frac{A_0(z)\exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^{z} A_0(x)dx\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{2}{E_s}\int_{-\infty}^{t} I_0(x)dx\right) + \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^{z} A_0(x)dx\right) - 1}$$
$$I(z,t) = \frac{I_0(t)\exp\left(\frac{2}{E_s}\int_{-\infty}^{t} I_0(x)dx\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{2}{E_s}\int_{-\infty}^{t} I_0(x)dx\right) + \exp\left(\int_{-\infty}^{z} A_0(x)dx\right) - 1}$$



Self-focusing: simulation of two-level system



Split-step algorithm, for each slice dz in z-direction:

• Calculate $\Delta N(x,y,z)$ and I(x,y,t) according to Frantz-Nodvik

- cross-section and saturation energy must be consistent with $Im\{\chi\}$ at given frequency

$$- \sigma = 2k_0 \operatorname{Im}\{\chi\}, \quad E_{sat} = h \nu / \sigma$$

- Use ΔN to calculate the phase acquired on a z-slice: $U(x, y, t) = \sqrt{I(x, y, t)} e^{ik_0 dz \Delta N \operatorname{Re}\{\chi\}/2}$
- Do the step propagation: $U(x, y, t) = FFT^{-1} \left(FFT(U) \cdot e^{idz(k_x^2 + k_y^2)/2k_0} \right)$





Self-focusing: simulation, $N_{Rb} = 7 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$

 $\Delta f = -5 GHz$ defocusing



1.5

z, cm

2.5

3

2



 $\Delta f = + 5 GHz$

focusing



z, cm





05-05-2017

0.5

0

Mikhail Martyanov, Max-Planck Institute for Physics, Munich, CERN AWAKE Team

1

14



Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)



Thank you for your attention!