



Constraining Hořava-Lifshitz gravity by black hole accretion

László Árpád Gergely, Marek Dwornik





- Accretion process can be a useful tool to study different gravity theories.
- We can also test gravity in the strong field regime.


The simplest theoretical model of the accretion disks is the steady-state thin disk model \longrightarrow several simplifying assumptions.

Because of the negligible thickness of the disk, the heat generated by the dynamic friction can dissipate.

constant
accretion rate

hydrodynamical
equilibrium

For large accretion disk luminosities, there is no unique inner edge and different definitions can be applied
(Abramowicz et al. (2010))



For accretion disk with moderate luminosity, the inner edge of the disk is located at ISCO

- The infrared-modified Hořava-Lishitz gravity is one of the most recent promising alternative of GR.
- In the low energy limit the theory reduces to GR.
- It seems to be consistent with the current observational data (additional tests are needed).
- In this theory a spherically symmetric, static black hole solution was found by A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos (KS).

$$ds^2 = g_{tt}(r) dt^2 + g_{rr}(r) dr^2 + r^2 (d\Theta^2 + \sin^2 \Theta d\varphi^2)$$

where the metric functions are provided by

$$-g_{tt}(r) = 1/g_{rr}(r) = 1 + \omega r^2 \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{4GM}{c^2 \omega r^3} \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

beyond mass this is characterised by another parameter

- When ω tends to infinity, GR is recovered.
- We can write $\omega = \frac{16\mu^2}{k^2}$ where μ and k are constant parameters.
- When $\omega k^2 m^2 > 1/2$ there exist two event horizons at

$$r_{\pm} = k^2 m \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{2\omega k^2 m^2}} \right)$$

- The two event horizons coincide for $\omega k^2 m^2 = 1/2$ and there is a naked singularity when $\omega k^2 m^2 < 1/2$

Approximations in the weak-field regime I.

- Introduce $\omega_0 = \omega m^2$ and the small $\varepsilon = \frac{m}{r} \ll 1$

- First, we assume that $\omega_0^{-1} \varepsilon^3 \ll 1 \longrightarrow \omega_0 \gg \varepsilon^3$
with this assumption the KS metric become

$$-g_{tt} = \frac{1}{g_{rr}} = 1 + \omega_0 \left(\frac{r}{m}\right)^2 \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{4m^3}{\omega_0 r^3}\right)^{1/2} \right] = 1 + \omega_0 \varepsilon^{-2} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{4}{\omega_0} \varepsilon^3\right)^{1/2} \right]$$

$$\simeq 1 - 2\varepsilon$$

$$1 + \frac{2}{\omega_0} \varepsilon^3$$

Approximations in the weak-field regime II.

- If $\omega_0^{-1}\varepsilon^3 = \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \omega_0 \approx \varepsilon^3$

$$-g_{tt} = \frac{1}{g_{rr}} = 1 + \varepsilon \left[\omega_0 \varepsilon^{-3} - \left((\omega_0 \varepsilon^{-3}) + 4 (\omega_0 \varepsilon^{-3}) \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

$-2\mathcal{O}(1)$

$$\simeq 1 - 2\varepsilon\mathcal{O}(1)$$

⎓ it is a correction of the Minkowski case ⎓

Approximations in the weak-field regime III.

- When $\omega_0^{-1}\epsilon^3 \gg 1 \longrightarrow \omega_0 \ll \epsilon^3$

$$-g_{tt} = \frac{1}{g_{rr}} = 1 + (\omega_0\epsilon^{-3})\epsilon \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{4}{\omega_0}\epsilon^3 \right)^{1/2} \right] \simeq 1 - 2\epsilon \underbrace{(\omega_0\epsilon^{-3})^{1/2}}_{\ll 1}$$

In summary:

$$-g_{tt} = \frac{1}{g_{rr}} = 1 - 2\epsilon y$$

$$y = \begin{cases} 1 & \omega_0 \gg \epsilon^3 \\ \lesssim 1 & \omega_0 \approx \epsilon^3 \\ \ll 1 & \omega_0 \ll \epsilon^3 \end{cases}$$

Conclusions: for $\epsilon \ll 1$ gravity always weaker than predicted by GR (independently of the values of ω_0).

We can introduce the „effective mass” of the black hole as

$$m_{eff} = ym$$


In the strong-field regime I.

from constraints

$$\omega_0 \min = 8 \times 10^{-10}$$

- Near the black hole $\varepsilon = \frac{m}{r} = \mathcal{O}(1)$


$$-g_{tt} = \frac{1}{g_{rr}} = 1 + \omega_0 \varepsilon^{-2} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{4}{\omega_0} \varepsilon^3 \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

- $\omega_0 \ll 1$  $-g_{tt} = \frac{1}{g_{rr}} = 1 + \omega_0 \varepsilon^{-2} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{4}{\omega_0} \varepsilon^3 \right)^{1/2} \right] \simeq$
 $\simeq 1 - 2\varepsilon^{-1/2} \omega_0^{1/2} = 1 - 2 \left(\frac{\omega_0}{m} \right)^{1/2} r^{1/2}$


- $\omega_0 \approx 1$ in this case, only numerical results are available.

- $\omega_0 \gg 1$ this is the Schwarzschild case.

approach towards the black hole, gravity decreases unlike the prediction in GR.



In the strong-field regime II. (effective potentials)




The general relativistic effective potential for $L=4.3$

$$V_{effSch}(R, L) = -\frac{1}{R} + \frac{L^2}{2R^2} - \frac{L^2}{R^3}$$


L is the normalized angular momentum and

$$R = \frac{r}{m}$$

$$V_{effKS}(R, L, \omega_0) = -\frac{\left(-1 - \omega_0 R^2 + \sqrt{R\omega_0(\omega_0 R^3 + 4)}\right) (L^2 + R^2)}{R^2}$$




The effective potential of the KS black hole solution for $L=4.3$ and $\Omega=0.5$




The effective potential of the KS black hole solution for $L=4.3$ and $\Omega=1000$

The innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) in Kehagias-Sfetsos geometry

ISCO radius as the function of ω_0 for the ($\omega_0 > 0.5$) case



ISCO radius as the function of ω_0 for the naked singularity case ($\omega_0 < 0.5$)



Thank you for your
attention!