Energy flow control in Hungary and data sparsity in quantum systems

Örs Legeza

Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest

Wigner-111, Budapest, 12.11.2013.

History and present challenges



- 1. History of electricity in Hungary
- 2. OVIT the former unit of Mavir
- 3. Avir: research aims
- 4. Developments within 1953-78
- 5. Developments of system control
- 6. Bigdata
- 7. Data compression

- 1878 arc-lamps in Ganz és Társa Vasöntô és Gépgyár
- 1884 current generators at Temesvár
- in 1920 most of the energy in Budapest was given by the Kelenföldi Erömű (138 MW)
- ▶ 1935 all the cities (56), and 30 percents of the villages (1020) had electricity

Development of the transmission network



1949 előtt





1979



1989

1949

OVIT the former unit of Mavir

- The National Electric-power Distributor (Országos Villamos Teherelosztó, OVT) was founded in 1949
- Dispatchers took notes on blackboards and communicated by telephone networks
- ▶ in 1949 it controlled 6 power plants and 11 sub-stations
- Major aims were:
 - measure power demand on daily, weekly and monthly basis
 - in case of power-failure the calculation of power-loss
- The first schedule for power plants connected to the strong-current network was issued in 1949

- Major aim of the network developments was to organize the operating and planned power units and major industrial costumers to a controlled network.
- Later power plants with smaller capacities were also included into the network
- In 1951 the OVT headquarter was founded but still remained part of Hungarian Electric Company (MVM).

► The first theoretical research units were founded in 1938

- Later others followed among many others:
 - the OVRAM (Országos Villamos Relévédelmi, Automatika és Mérésszolgálat) and
 - the Villamosipari Központi Kutató Laboratórium (VKKL)

New challenges within 1953-78

- New challenges to be solved: the development of the 400 kV networks in the vicinity of Paks Atomic Reactor
- OVT had to fulfill demands related to the sudden fluctuations in energy consumptions in the morning and evenings
- Need for local control units: Local Dispatcher Services (Körzeti Diszpécser Szolgálatok (KDSZ-ek).
- National network was connected with networks of the surrounding countries: more intense energy flow through the non-homogeneous networks (compatibility problems related to the differences between the SZU EVER and CDU systems)
- In the 1960s digital technology started to gain more attention

Developments of system control

- Major 750 kV network developments and several new nodes with multi-line connections
- Tasks of transmission control could not be solved by humans: need for remote data collection, fast data transfer and on-line data analysis
- The system operation related tasks were controlled by a Hitachi 2xHIDIC-80 computer system
- In the 1980's a computer network dedicated to system control related tasks were developed (OVINET)
- The Hitachi central unit was further developed reaching its peak in 1988: HIDIC–80 three-parallel unit.
- This system was operated until 2000 for more than 21 years and controlled more than 100 power units and several thousand sub-stations.

Recent system control

Nowadays the EMS SPECTRUM-SCADA control system is responsible for most of the tasks related to energy flow control within the country.



- MAVIR started to become an independent unit since 2001 taking care of more and more complex tasks. Since 2006 it also controls the energy flow across the border.
- Opening of the market in the energy sector has raised even further tasks to be solved.

New challenges and problems

- Optimizing network topologies
- Optimizing schedule based on power demand and the market
- Redundant functionality units
- Off-site redundancy
- Remote control
- Information Technology (IT)-Security: dedicated networks, secure communication but also public data release
- Energy flow control units are key issues for National Security

Big-Data, correlations and graphs

- Nowadays, measurements are made in milliseconds, and these data are processed locally by intelligent metering-units which transfer relevant data to the central unit for further data analysis.
- Correlation calculations also generate huge data (several TeraBs, even PetaBs)
- ▶ Need new technology for data management: Big Data Science
- Similar demands in quantum physics: simulation of quantum systems on classical computers

Efficient simulation of quantum systems on classical computers?

- R. Feyman (1985): simulating quantum systems on classical computers takes an amount of time that scales exponentially with size of system, while quantum simulations can scale in polynomial time with system size.
- ► Tensor network states as low-rank approximations of high-dimensional tensor spaces → efficient simulations on classical computers with polynomial costs
- Major aim: no need to build expensive laboratories and experimental environments to design new materials and system just simulate them on computers
- In certain cases we can already reproduce and simulate experimental results

Major aim:

For example using a spin-orbit basis (d=4):

$$|\alpha_i\rangle \equiv |\mathbf{0}\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$$

Wavefunction in full tensor form:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_N} U_{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_N} |\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_N\rangle$$



Tensor product approximations:

Matrix Product State (MPS) representation:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_N}^{d} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\alpha_1}^1 A_{\alpha_2}^2 \cdots A_{\alpha_N}^N\right) |\alpha_1\rangle |\alpha_2\rangle \cdots |\alpha_N\rangle$$



- We can call this as a network.
- The Density Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG) is a special algorithm that provides the optimized set of A_i matrices.

Tensor product approximation

Approximation of a single tensor, or even an ensemble of tensors \mathbf{u}_{y} , $y = 1, \dots, m$, in tensor product spaces,

$$|\mathbf{u}_{y}\rangle = \sum_{x_{1}=1}^{n_{1}} \dots \sum_{x_{d}=1}^{n_{d}} U(x_{1}, \dots, x_{d}, y) |x_{1}\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |x_{d}\rangle \in \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d} V_{i} := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{d} C^{n_{i}}$$

where $span\{|x_i\rangle : x_i = 1, ..., n - i\} = V_i = \mathbf{C}^{n_i}$. If there is no ambiguity with respect to the basis vectors $|x_i\rangle$, $x_i = 1, ..., n_i$, we can identify $(|\mathbf{u}_y\rangle)_{y=1}^m$, with the discrete function

$$\left((x_1,\ldots,x_d)\mapsto U(x_1,\ldots,x_d,y) \right)$$

i.e. $x_i = 1, ..., n_i$, y = 1, ..., m.

A special representation of U if we introduce the matrices $\mathbf{U}_p(x_p) \in \mathbb{C}^{r_{p-1} \times r_p}$ by

$$\left({f U}_p(x_p)
ight)_{k_{p-1},k_p} = U_p(k_{p-1},x_p,k_p) \;,\; 1$$

Then we can represent the tensor by matrix products

$$U(x_1,\ldots,x_d) = \mathbf{U}_1(x_1)\cdots\mathbf{U}_p(x_p)\cdots\mathbf{U}_d(x_d)$$
.

Density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) method (White, 1992)

Matrix product state (MPS) (Östlund and Rommer, 1996; F. Verstraete and Cirac, 2004)

Tensor Train (TT) (Oseledets 2009; Hackbush 2009)

Complexity of finding the required state

- contains ground states of short-ranged Hamiltonians
- merit of TNS: parametrize this set efficiently!

ground states are here!



$\mathsf{Entanglement} \to \mathsf{Multiply} \text{ connected networks}$



Murg, Verstraete, Schneider, Nagy, Legeza (2013)

- \blacktriangleright DMRG \rightarrow Matrix product states, i.e. optimization along one-spatial dimension
- Need for an algorithm that reflects the entanglement topology of the problem → Tensor Network State (TNS) methods
- Use tensors $[A_i]_{\alpha_1...\alpha_z}^k$ where z is the coordination number

Fujitsu: K computer 10.51 petaflops



- DDMRG simulations: ACM Gordon Bell Prize in 2012
- Number of processors: 88,128
- ► Total Memory capacity: More than 1 petabyte

Fujitsu: K computer 10.51 petaflops

- The 6-dimensional mesh/torus topology in the K computer provides many communication routes between neighboring CPUs.
- Execution of data communications between CPUs via the shortest route and over the shortest period of time
- CPU: Fujitsu SPARC64 VIIIfx processors:

2.2 gigaflops per watt

Water cooling system for its major components





SPARC64™ VIIIfx