



ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC



HEPTECH | Budapest | November 29-30, 2018

The research has been supported by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund (EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-00013)





ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC



#### **From Applied Deep Learning to Artificial General Intelligence**

András Lőrincz Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Informatics Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/C Iorincz@inf.elte.hu

#### Predictions

#### "10 years from now, AI will overcome NI (natural intelligence)"

#### Estimates of when AGI will arrive

1965

1993

1995

2008

2017

from Wikpedia

Year prediction made Predicted year Number of years

1985 or sooner

2023 or sooner

2040 or sooner

Never

2029

Al pioneer and economist HER	RBERT <b>A. SIMON</b> inaccu	urately predicted in 1965

Ray Kurzweil

Herbert A. Simon

 $\rightarrow$  "Machines will be capable, within twenty years, of doing any work a man can do".

#### In 1970 MARVIN MINSKY wrote that

→ "Within a generation... the problem of creating artificial intelligence will substantially be solved."<sup>[69]</sup>

Predictor

Gordon E. Moore, inventor of Moore's Law

Vernor Vinge, science fiction writer

Hans Moravec, robotics researcher

#### BILL GATES in 1998

 $\rightarrow$  Dialogue systems will be working in 10 years

20 or less

30 or less

45 or less

12

Contemporaneous source The shape of automation for men and management<sup>[69][72]</sup>

"The Coming Technological Singularity"<sup>[73]</sup>

Wired<sup>[74]</sup>

Interview<sup>[76]</sup>

IEEE Spectrum<sup>[75]</sup>





Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC



#### Content

From Applied Deep Learning to Artificial General Intelligence

- Crowdsourced or Natural INTELLIGENCE QUOTIENT is larger?
  - Putative EXAMPLE on crowdsourced, MANY COMPONENT DEEP-NETWORKS with CONSISTENCY SEEKING followed by actions and self-training
- APPLICATIONS ARE "EASY" WITH DEEP NETWORKS → examples from my group
- The MYSTERY of Natural Intelligence (NI) → What is NI made of?
  - What could be the main problem for NI?
  - How did Nature solve it? What is the "invention" of the mammalian brain?
- A recent application (on face and facial expression)
  - avoids crowdsourcing
  - hits at the core of the "invention"...





EIT Digital CLC







ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC



### **Crowdsourced Intelligence**

Putative example on

- crowdsourced, many component deep-networks with
- consistency seeking followed by
- actions and self-training

# Self-training 1: Inference on NN outputs

- Contradiction! He has two right hands!
- Score on the steering wheel is high: this is the right hand...
- The other one is the left hand...
- Evaluation should be constrained accordingly...



E·L·T·E







• AI has two new **SAMPLES** for self-training...

**Red: left** 

# Self-training 1: Inference on NN outputs

- Contradiction! He has two right hands!
- Score on the steering wheel is high: this is the **right hand...**
- The other one is the left hand...
- Evaluation should be constrained accordingly...

• AI has two new **SAMPLES** for self-training...

**OK**!!

Blue: right Red: left







Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC

# Self-training 2: Inference on BIG DATA

- What is the driver looking at?
- Send to Google Images and read captions: it is an animal
  - Hmmm... in a car, in the driver's seat?
- The target of the gaze is close to the wrist
- Restrict search by the word wrist. Captions say: wristwatch

(b)



(a)

HEPTECH | Budapest | November 29-30, 2018

(c)

Lőrincz, A., Máté Csákvári, Áron Fóthi, Z. Ádám Milacski, András Sárkány, and Z. Tősér. "Towards reasoning based representations: Deep Consistence Seeking Machine." Cognitive Systems Research 47 (2018): 92-108

(d)







# Self-training 3: Inference on knowledge base

Thus, he is looking at his wristwatch What is the wristwatch used for? Ask ConceptNet:

"wristwatch  $\xrightarrow{\text{UsedFor}}$  time something or somebody" "wristwatch  $\xrightarrow{\text{IsA}}$  way to tell time"





(a)



(b)



(c)

(d)

 $\Rightarrow$  Puzzle solved, action has become possible...

 $\Rightarrow$  Machine will learn from behavioral feedback





ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC



## **Applications have become easy**

 $\rightarrow$  examples from my group

#### Some of our applications







HEPTECH | Budapest | November 29-30, 2018

The research has been supported by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund (EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-00013)





ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC



# The MYSTERY of Natural Intelligence (NI) → What is NI made of?

- > What could be the main **problem for NI**?
- How did Nature solve it? What is the "INVENTION" of the mammalian brain?

#### The mystery of intelligence...





Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC

Scientific discoveries of 20 000 thousand years − many—many years and many-many milliard people → can be transferred to a child in about 20 years (for the end of the university)



#### How does our intelligence look like?

- First type of recognition
  - What is this?



- Horse
  - How do you know?

- Second type of recognition
   What is this?
   (b)
- Horse?
  - Why do you think so?





ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC



https://www.theguardian.com/artanddesign/2015/dec/09/nadia-chomyn and the references from Lorna Selfe of the author of the link

#### How does our intelligence look like?





ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC



because of the components!

4 yr old normal child

Nothing to learn if we know the components

- Easy to communicate
- Easy to extend, e.g., for zebra
  - cf. zero shot learning

Second type of recognition

What is this?

(b)

٠

Same autistic person at the age of 20 years She could draw the components of the horse...



Figure 2.46 A horse; drawn by Nadia in her early 20s.

#### Autistic child, age: 3.5 years

has problems with recognizing parents "My mother looks so different from time to time"

## The mystery of intelligence

Scientific discoveries of 20 000 thousand years – many—many years and many-many milliard people

- → can be transferred to a child in about 20 years (for the end of the university)
- ➔ it is very fast, due to
  - ➔ component learning
  - → linear proofs of combinatorial problems, e.g.,
    - ➔ traveling salesman problem
    - ➔ mathematical theorems
    - → scientific papers

#### Issues

- Learning of components in order to overcome the curse of dimensions
- Manipulation of components in order to make statements with linear proofs
- Context based modulation of the interpretation of the components
- Rule based correction of decisions and classifications
- Self-training via rule based corrections

#### ➔ IS IT HARD TO FIND THE COMPONENTS?

Missing

Crowdsourced databases may make it surprisingly efficient







Faculty of Informatics

## The main problem: Curse of dimensionality

Consider a finite world of dimension *n* (number of variables)

- $\rightarrow$  normalize all dimensions to scale between -1 and +1
- draw the maximal 'sphere'

#### The curse

→ dimension of HD image ~ 10<sup>6</sup>
 → 2<sup>1,000,000</sup> 'hedgehog thorns' (!!!)
 → of length of 999 (!)
 makes hard to search for the optimal solution

Furthermore, the human brain

 $\rightarrow$  can handle not more than cca. 7±2 (~2<sup>3</sup>) components at a time



#### Mammalian (e.g., rat & monkey) brain 'knows' the trick



### How about other tasks to be learned?

The research has been supported by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund (EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-00013)



## **Component and metric learning**

It comes easy for us, for some components, such as

- place, direction, distance, weight, size, pitch of sound,
- but it is harder for other components, such as
  - number, angle, mass, energy, conductivity, quasi-particles, pH, etc.

Nonlinear transformation and projection to an APPROX LINEAR LOW DIMENSIONAL SPACE

Many solutions exist for modelling the mammalian innovation. They are imperfect

- i. due to assumptions about pre-processing algorithms
- ii. due to assumptions about neurally feasible algorithm
  - but they are close... (\*)

[2] Banino A, Barry C, Uria 5, Blundell C, Lillicrap T, Mirowski P, Pritzel A, Chadwick MJ, Degris T, Modayil J, Wayne G, Soyer H, Viola F, Zhang B, Goroshin R, Rabinowitz N, Pascanu R, Beattie C, Petersen S, Sadik A, Gaffney S, King H, Kavukcuoglu K, Hassabis D, Hadsell R, and Kumaran D. "Vector-based navigation using grid-like representations in artificial agents." Nature 557, no. 7705 (2018): 429.





Faculty of Informatics



<sup>(\*)</sup> Two recent ones from the list use semi-supervision:

<sup>[1]</sup> Lőrincz A and Sárkány A. "Semi-Supervised Learning of Cartesian Factors: A Top-Down Model of the Entorhinal Hippocampal Complex." Frontiers in Psychology 8 (2017): 215.

## Uncovering the mystery of the mammalian brain







ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC





Α







ORIGINAL RESEARCH published: 21 February 2017 doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00215

HEPTECH | Budapest | November 29-30, 2018

The research has been supported by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund (EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-00013)

#### **Place cells**









2.25

2.00

1.75

1.50

1.25

1.00

0.75

0.50

0.25

0.00

Semi-Supervised Learning of Cartesian Factors: A Top-Down Model of the Entorhinal Hippocampal Complex

András Lőrincz\* and András Sárkány



ORIGINAL RESEARCH published: 21 February 2017 doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00215



## **Directed grid cells**

А

в

С





ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC





Semi-Supervised Learning of Cartesian Factors: A Top-Down Model of the Entorhinal Hippocampal Complex

András Lőrincz\* and András Sárkány



ORIGINAL RESEARCH published: 21 February 2017 doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00215

## Direction free grid cells – DeepMind

**Artificial (Agent)** 





ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC











Our experiments with artificial agents yielded grid-like representations ("grid units") that were strikingly similar to biological

17 MAY 2018 | VOL 557 | NATURE | 429 LETTER

#### Vector-based navigation using grid-like representations in artificial agents

Andrea Banino<sup>1,2,5,5</sup>, Caswell Barry<sup>2,5</sup>\*, Benigno Uria<sup>1</sup>, Charles Blundell<sup>1</sup>, Timothy Lillicrap<sup>1</sup>, Piotr Mirowski<sup>1</sup>, Alexander Pritzel<sup>1</sup>, Martin J. Chadwick<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Degris<sup>1</sup>, Joseph Modayi<sup>1</sup>, Greg Weyne<sup>1</sup>, Hubert Soyer<sup>1</sup>, Fabio Yiola<sup>1</sup>, Brian Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Ross Goroshin<sup>1</sup>, Neil Rabinowitz<sup>1</sup>, Razvan Pascanu<sup>1</sup>, Charlie Beattie<sup>1</sup>, Stig Petersen<sup>1</sup>, Amir Sadik<sup>1</sup>, Stephen Gaffney<sup>1</sup>, Helen King<sup>1</sup>, Koray Karukcuoglu<sup>1</sup>, Demis Hasabis<sup>1,4</sup>, Raia Hadsell<sup>1</sup> & Dharshan Kumaran<sup>1,5</sup>\*

## **Component and metric learning**

It comes easy for us, for some components, such as

- place, direction, distance, weight, size, pitch of sound,
- but it is harder for other components, such as
  - number, angle, mass, energy, conductivity, quasi-particles, pH, etc.

Nonlinear transformation and projection to an approx linear low dimensional space

Many solutions exist for modelling the mammalian innovation. They are imperfect

- i. due to assumptions about pre-processing algorithms
- ii. due to assumptions about neurally feasible algorithm
  - but the are close... (\*)

#### Plus, algorithmic solutions are not having biological constraints...

(\*) Two recent ones from the list use semi-supervision:

[1] Lőrincz A and Sárkány A. "Semi-Supervised Learning of Cartesian Factors: A Top-Down Model of the Entorhinal Hippocampal Complex." Frontiers in Psychology 8 (2017): 215.

[2] Banino A, Barry C, Uria 5, Blundell C, Lillicrap T, Mirowski P, Pritzel A, Chadwick MJ, Degris T, Modayil J, Wayne G, Soyer H, Viola F, Zhang B, Goroshin R, Rabinowitz N, Pascanu R, Beattie C, Petersen S, Sadik A, Gaffney S, King H, Kavukcuoglu K, Hassabis D, Hadsell R, and Kumaran D. "Vector-based navigation using grid-like representations in artificial agents." *Nature* 557, no. 7705 (2018): 429.





Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC







## A recent application (on face and facial expression)

- avoids crowdsourcing
- hits the core of the "invention"...

identity

identity

# Novel solution (at least a trial) for component learning

Need: Annotation (crowdsourcing) takes time, is expensive, and is never sufficient
 Option: Identities on photos are annotated (semi-supervision)
 1<sup>st</sup> Question: Do we have to annotate facial expressions?
 2<sup>nd</sup> Question: Can we control facial expressions on a face? → Here, it is "imitation"

Identity of a face
→ some kind of constancy within a face
→ that changes from face to face

Attributes of the facial expressions
→ changes for one face
→ similar for all faces

Bao, Jianmin, Dong Chen, Fang Wen, Houqiang Li, and Gang Hua. "Towards Open-Set Identity Preserving Face Synthesis." In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 6713-6722. Jun 18—Jun 22, 2018.

HEPTECH | Budapest | November 29-30, 2018

A (input)

B (input)









## **Combination of networks**







We don't have input-output samples. We have freedom in

- i. architectural design
- ii. cost functions for learning

Losses



**1. Loss on identity.** In the training data, they only have the annotation of the identity of each face, without any annotation of the attribute information. This is because face images with category annotations are relatively easy to obtain.  $\rightarrow$  semi-supervised factor learning.  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{I}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim P_r}[\log P(c|\boldsymbol{x}^s)],$ 

- softmax loss for training network to perform face classification task
- inputs from the same individual have approximately the same features to be used as the identity vector.

**2. Reconstruction loss.** There are two situations: whether subject image  $x^s$  is the same as attribute image  $x^a$  or not. In both cases, we require the result image x' to reconstruct attribute image  $x^a$ , but with different loss weight.

$$\mathcal{L}_{GR} = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} rac{1}{2} ||oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} - oldsymbol{x}'||_2^2 & ext{if } oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{s}} = oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} & ext{if } oldsymbol{a} = oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} & ext{if } oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} & ext{if } oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{s}} = oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} & ext{if } oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} = oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} & ext{if } oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} & ext{if } oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} & ext{if } oldsymbol{a} = oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} & oldsymbol{a} & ext{if } oldsymbol{a} & ext{if } oldsymbol{x}^{oldsymbol{a}} & ext{if } oldsymbol{a} & ext{if } oldsymbol{a$$

raw pixel reconstruction loss background, overall illumination, and pose

Supposing there are various face images of an identity, the identity vector  $f_I(x)$  is almost the same for all samples. But the reconstruction using the  $f_I(x)$  and  $f_A(x)$  of different samples are all different.  $\rightarrow$  The reconstruction loss will force the attribute encoder network A to learn different attributes representation  $f_A(x)$ .



**3.** *Kullback-Leibler divergence* loss. Helps the attributes encoder network learn. It regularizes the attribute vector with an appropriate prior  $P_a(\xi) \sim N(0;1)$ . The *KL* divergence loss limits the distribution range of the attribute vector.  $\rightarrow$  It does not contain much identity information. The network *A* outputs the mean  $\mu$  and covariance of the latent vector: reduces the gap between the prior  $P_a(\xi)$  and the learned distributions.



## Further losses

Loss for identity-preserving faces



$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}} = -\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x} \sim P_r}[\log P(c|\boldsymbol{x}^s)].$$

is extended with a similar term as in **5.** but on  $x^s$ 

6. Feature representation loss at (an) internal layer/s

$$\mathcal{L}_{GC} = rac{1}{2} || f_{C}(x') - f_{C}(x^{s}) ||_{2}^{2}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{I} &\leftarrow -\log(P(c|\boldsymbol{x^{s}})) \\ \mathcal{L}_{C} &\leftarrow -\log(P(c|\boldsymbol{x^{s}})) \\ \boldsymbol{f_{I}}(\boldsymbol{x^{s}}) &\leftarrow I(\boldsymbol{x^{s}}); \boldsymbol{f_{A}}(\boldsymbol{x^{a}}) \leftarrow A(\boldsymbol{x^{a}}) \\ \mathcal{L}_{KL} &\leftarrow KL(\boldsymbol{f_{A}}(\boldsymbol{x^{a}})||P(\boldsymbol{z})) \\ \boldsymbol{x'} &\leftarrow G([\boldsymbol{f_{I}}(\boldsymbol{x^{s}})^{T}, \boldsymbol{f_{A}}(\boldsymbol{x^{a}})^{T}]^{T}) \\ \mathcal{L}_{D} &\leftarrow -(\log(D(\boldsymbol{x^{a}})) + \log(1 - D(\boldsymbol{x'}))) \\ \mathcal{L}_{GR} &\leftarrow \frac{1}{2}||\boldsymbol{x^{a}} - \boldsymbol{x'}||_{2}^{2} \\ \mathcal{L}_{GD} &\leftarrow \frac{1}{2}||\boldsymbol{f_{D}}(\boldsymbol{x^{a}}) - \boldsymbol{f_{D}}(\boldsymbol{x'})||_{2}^{2} \\ \mathcal{L}_{GC} &\leftarrow \frac{1}{2}||\boldsymbol{f_{C}}(\boldsymbol{x^{s}}) - \boldsymbol{f_{C}}(\boldsymbol{x'})||_{2}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

EIT Digital CLC





#### HEPTECH | Budapest | November 29-30, 2018

### Conclusions

- 1. Intelligence is limited by the CURSE OF DIMENSIONALITY
  - component discovery and dimension reduction are necessary
- 2. Intelligence demonstrates itself via LINEAR / TEMPORAL TESTABLE PROOFS
  - such as arguments, solutions, explanations

for combinatorial component manipulation tasks – like in the Traveling Salesmen Problem

- 3. Nature has found a solution for COMPONENT DISCOVERY (which is not yet fully resolved)
  - > works smoothly for space, distances, faces, facial expressions and alike if properly prewired
  - > but it is hard if the evolutionary system is spoiled like in autistic spectrum condition





Faculty of Informatics

**EIT Digital CLC** 



#### auvalices business and high quality Add solutions

# Conclusions

- 1. Intelligence is limited by the CURSE OF DIMENSIONALITY
  - component discovery and dimension reduction are necessary
- 2. Intelligence demonstrates itself via LINEAR / TEMPORAL TESTABLE PROOFS
  - Such as argument solutions, explanations for combinatorial component manipulation tasks MESSAGE Sale
- 3. Nature has found a solution for COMPONENT DISCOVERY (which is not yet fully resolved)
  - > works smoothly for space, distances, faces, facial expressions and alike if properly prewired
  - but it is hard if the evolutionary system is spoiled like in autistic spectrum condition
- 4. AGI HAS NO STRUCTURAL OR TEMPORAL CONSTRAINTS.
  - > DNN evolution is over. Evolution of DNN & cost function Assemblies is happening
  - Iriving force: R+D+I on "CROWDSOURCING FREE APPLICATIONS"
    - it advances BUSINESS and HIGH QUALITY AGI solutions







#### lorincz@inf.elte.hu



# Components and the context – *both* – **NI abilities** are relevant

What is this? Are you sure?



ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC







# Components and the context – *both* – are relevant





E·L·T·E IK





#### Deep network abilities

horse 0.999

#### Deep networks can behave similarly

cow 0.663



ELTE Faculty of Informatics EIT Digital CLC





horse 0.940



dog 0.565